

Torres Trade Trump Table

Last Updated: October 23, 2025

Summary:

The table below presents a structured timeline of executive actions, policy directives, and trade-related decisions issued by President Trump's administration from January 2025 to the present. It focuses on critical areas such as tariffs, economic sanctions (OFAC), the priorities of the Department of Justice, customs regulations, and broader trade and economic policies.

The table captures significant policy shifts, including the imposition and threats of tariffs on imports from Canada, Mexico, China, and other countries; sanctions targeting individuals, international organizations, and foreign entities; and efforts to align federal agencies with an "America First" economic and diplomatic agenda. As a whole, the actions summarized below illustrate the administration's approach to trade protectionism, economic nationalism, and regulatory intervention, which has far-reaching implications for global trade relationships, U.S. businesses, and international law enforcement efforts.

TRACKER

TRACKE	K		
Date	Source	Category	Summary
10/23/25	Notice of Determination and Request for Comments Concerning Action Pursuant to Section 301: Nicaragua's Acts, Policies, and Practices Related to Labor Rights, Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms, and the Rule of Law	Tariffs	The USTR issued a determination pursuant to Section 301 of the Trade Act of 1974 regarding Nicaragua's acts, policies, and practices and their unreasonable and burdensome impacts on U.S. commerce. The USTR cites to the actions taken by the Ortega-Murillo regime that constitute human rights abuses and dismantle the rule of law in Nicaragua. Based on the USTR's findings, the agency recommends that the President take certain actions, including the imposition of up to 100% tariffs on Nicaraguan imports, to address the identified concerns. The USTR has requested public comments be submitted on its proposed actions through November 19, 2025.
10/22/25	Office of Foreign Assets Control Press Release: Treasury Sanctions Major Russian Oil Companies, Calls on Moscow to Immediately Agree to Ceasefire	Economic Sanctions	The Office of Foreign Assets Control (OFAC) announced the imposition of new economic sanctions on key Russian oil firms and their subsidiaries in response to Russia's "lack of serious commitment to a peace process to end the war in Ukraine." Specifically, Russia's two largest oil

companies, Open Joint Stock Company Rosneft Oil Company (Rosneft) and Lukoil OAO (Lukoil) have been listed on OFAC's Specially **Designated Nationals** (SDN) List pursuant to Executive Order 14024. The subsidiaries of Rosneft and Lukoil listed in Annex I of the OFAC press release, along with any entity owned 50% or more by Lukoil or Rosneft, are also subject to OFAC sanctions. OFAC also published new general licenses related to transactions involving sanctioned Russian parties. GL 124A permits certain transactions related to the Caspian Oil Pipeline Consortium or Tengizchevroil projects. GL 126 authorizes certain transactions related to the wind down of operations with Rosneft and Lukoil through November 21, 2025. GL 127 authorizes certain transactions involving Rosneft and Lukoil related to debt, equity, or derivative contracts through November 21, 2025. GL 128 permits certain transactions related to the maintenance, operation, or wind down of Lukoil retails service stations located outside of Russia through November 21, 2025.

10/21/25	International Trade Administration Press Release: The Department of Commerce Announces American AI Exports Program Implementation	Exports	The International Trade Administration (ITA) announced the implementation of the American AI Exports Program that will involve the selection of AI export packages produced in the U.S. for promotion to foreign countries. The program implementation follows President Trump's executive order issued July 23, 2025, aimed at developing and promoting U.S. exports of AI technology stacks. A request for information will be published in the Federal Register to invite public comments on how to shape the program, related industry needs, and to ensure the policies behind the program are satisfied.
10/17/25	Adjusting Imports of Medium- and Heavy- Duty Vehicles, Medium- and Heavy-Duty Vehicle Parts, and Buses into the United States	Tariffs	Following an investigation and report under Section 232 of the Trade Expansion Act of 1962, President Trump issued a Presidential Proclamation to impose tariffs on imports of medium- and heavy-duty vehicles (MHDVs), parts, and buses. Beginning November 1, 2025, specified MHDVs and MHDV parts will be subject to a 25% tariff rate while buses and other vehicles classified under HTSUS heading 8702 will be subject to a 10% tariff rate. For USMCA-

			qualifying MHDVs, the 25% tariff will only apply to the non-U.S. content of the vehicle. Notably, U.S. manufacturers of MHDVs may seek an import adjustment offset equal to 3.75% of the aggregate value of all MHDVs annually assembled in the U.S. This import offset adjustment may be used to offset tariff liability related to that manufacturer's import of certain MHDV parts and will remain available until October 31, 2030. For more information see the White House Fact Sheet here.
9/30/25	Expansion of End-User Controls to Cover Affiliates of Certain Listed Entities	Export Controls	The Bureau of Industry and Security (BIS) issued an interim final rule amending the Export Administration Regulations (EAR) to include new restrictions on exports to parties owned 50% or more by an entity listed on the BIS Military End-User (MEU) List or Entity List. This new rule mirrors the Office of Foreign Asset Control's (OFAC) application of economic sanctions and provides that parties ultimately owned 50% or more by an entity on the MEU or Entity List will be subject to the same export restrictions as its listed owners. In addition to the new rule, BIS has also

			added Red Flag #29 to
			Supplement No. 3 of Part
			732 of the EAR directing
			that when an exporter has
			"knowledge" that a
			foreign entity has one or
			more listed owners or that
			are subject to other export
			restrictions, the exporter
			has an affirmative duty to
			determine the percentage
			of ownership of those
			entities or must obtain a
			license from BIS prior to
			export.
9/29/25	White House	Tariffs/National	Following an
		Security	investigation under
	Adjusting Imports of		Section 232 of the Trade
	Timber, Lumber, and		Expansion Act of 1962,
	Their Derivative Products		President Trump issued a
	into The United States		Presidential Proclamation
			implementing tariff
			measures targeting
			imports of certain timber,
			lumber, and derivative
			products based on
			national security
			concerns. Certain imports
			of softwood timber and
			lumber identified in
			Annex I to the
			Proclamation will be
			subject to a 10% tariff
			rate. Imports of
			upholstered wooden
			products, kitchen
			cabinets, and vanities identified in Annex I to
			the Proclamation will be
			subject to a 25% tariff rate. These initial tariff
			rates become effective
			October 14, 2025.
			Afterwards, the tariff rate
			for upholstered wooden
			products will increase to
			products will illerease to

			Ţ
			30% and the tariff rate for
			kitchen cabinets and
			vanities will increase to
			50% starting January 1,
			2026. The Proclamation
			provides separate tariff
			rates for certain countries
			that have executed trade
			agreement frameworks
			with the U.S. including
			the European Union
			(15%), Japan (15%), and
			the United Kingdom
			(10%). For more
			information, visit the
			White House Fact Sheet
0/26/25	F 1 1D 14	T 'CC /NI 4' 1	here.
9/26/25	<u>Federal Register</u>	Tariffs/National	The Department of
	N. C. C.	Security	Commerce initiated an
	Notice of Request for		investigation under
	Public Comments on		Section 232 of the Trade
	Section 232 National		Expansion Act of 1962 to
	Security Investigation of		determine the national
	Imports of Personal		security effects of imports
	Protective Equipment,		of personal protective
	Medical Consumables,		equipment (PPE), medical
	and Medical Equipment,		consumables, and medical
	Including Devices		equipment including
			devices. Interested parties
			are invited to submit
			comments addressing
			topics set forth in the FRN
			including the domestic
			demand for PPE, medical
			consumables, and medical
			equipment, including
			devices, whether U.S.
			production can meet this
			demand, the role of
			foreign supply chains in
			meeting U.S. demand for
			the subject medical
			products and the
			economic impact of
			artificially suppressed
			prices for the subject
			prices for the subject

			medical products due to
			foreign unfair trade
			practices and state
			sponsored
			overproduction. Interested
			parties must submit
			comments by October 17,
			2025 for consideration.
			The investigation may
			lead to the
			implementation of
			additional tariffs on
			certain PPE, medical consumables, and other
0/26/25	Endamal Designation	Tauisca/NI-4:1	medical device imports.
9/26/25	<u>Federal Register</u>	Tariffs/National	The Department of Commerce initiated an
	NI-4: CD f	Security	
	Notice of Request for		investigation under
	Public Comments on		Section 232 of the Trade
	Section 232 National		Expansion Act of 1962 to
	Security Investigation of		determine the national
	Imports of Robotics and		security effects of imports
	Industrial Machinery		of robotics and industrial
			machinery. Interested
			parties may submit
			comments for
			consideration in the
			investigation that focus on
			topics such as the
			domestic demand for
			robotics and industrial
			machinery in the U.S.,
			whether U.S. production
			can meet this domestic
			demand, and the impact of
			foreign government
			subsidies and predatory
			trade practices on the
			competitiveness of the
			robotics and industrial
			machinery, and their parts
			and components, in the
			U.S. The deadline to
			submit comments in this
			investigation will be
			October 17, 2025. The

	1	T	1
			investigation may lead to
			the implementation of
			additional tariffs on
			certain robotics and
			industrial machinery
			products that are imported
			-
0 /2 - /2 -			into the U.S.
9/25/25	U.S. Court of Appeals for	Tariffs	The Court of Appeals for
	the Federal Circuit		the Federal Circuit
			(CAFC) issued an opinion
	Case No. 23-1891		in one of the leading cases
			challenging the validity of
	HMTX Industries v.		Section 301 tariffs
	United States		implemented under the
	Onned States		_
			first Trump
			administration. The issues
			on appeal related to
			whether the USTR
			exceeded its statutory
			authority under Section
			307 of the Trade Act of
			1974 in modifying tariffs
			on a wide range of
			Chinese-origin goods (i.e.,
			the List 3 and List 4a
			actions) after China
			retaliated against initial
			rounds of Section 301
			tariffs implemented under
			List 1 and List 2. In
			addition, the CAFC
			considered whether the
			USTR took sufficient
			action to consider public
			comments on the List 3
			and 4a tariff modifications
			pursuant to the trial
			court's prior order. The
			validity of the List 1 and
			List 2 tariff actions were
			not challenged. The
			CAFC held that Section
			307(a)(1)(C) of the Trade
			Act of 1974
			independently authorized
			the USTR to implement

			the tariff modifications
			under List 3 and List 4a.
			The Court also found that
			the USTR's review of
			public comments and
			subsequent supply of
			information to the trial
			court "supplied the
			necessary clarification to
			meet the APA's
			[Administrative Procedure
			Act] requirements
			regarding notice-and-
			comment rulemaking."
			Therefore, the Court
			upheld the validity of the
			List 3 and List 4a Section
			301 tariff actions targeting
			Chinese-origin imports.
9/25/25	Federal Register	Tariffs	This FRN implements
			modifications to the
	Implementing Certain		HTSUS in line with the
	Tariff-Related Elements		previously announced
	of the U.SEU		U.SEU framework
	Framework on an		agreement on trade. The
	Agreement on		HTSUS modifications
	Reciprocal, Fair, and		formally implement tariff
	Balanced Trade		adjustments on certain
			EU-origin products
			including automobiles and
			automobile parts (15%
			total tariff rate), aircraft
			and aircraft parts (subject
			to exemptions from
			-
			reciprocal tariffs and
			steel/aluminum tariffs), unavailable natural
			resources (including cork)
			(subject to exemption
			from reciprocal tariffs),
			generic pharmaceuticals
			and their ingredients and
			chemical precursors
			(subject to exemptions
			from reciprocal tariffs).

9/24/25	Federal Register	National Security	The Department of State
7/24/23	rederar register	National Security	announced the publication
	Presidential		of the President's
			identification of the
	Determination on Major		
	Drug Transit or Major		following countries as
	Illicit Drug Producing		major drug transit or
	Countries for Fiscal Year		production countries:
	2025		Afghanistan, The
			Bahamas, Belize, Bolivia,
			Burma, the People's
			Republic of China (PRC),
			Colombia, Costa Rica, the
			Dominican Republic,
			Ecuador, El Salvador,
			Guatemala, Haiti,
			Honduras, India, Jamaica,
			Laos, Mexico, Nicaragua,
			Pakistan, Panama, Peru,
			and Venezuela. Five
			countries- Afghanistan,
			Bolivia, Burma,
			Colombia, and
			Venezuela- are listed as
			failing "demonstrably to
			make substantial efforts"
			over the past 12 months to
			make efforts to comply
			with counternarcotics
			agreements. As such, the
			President determined that
			providing U.S.
			"assistance" to these
			countries is "vital" to U.S.
			interests. All listed
			countries are called upon
			to better their
			counternarcotics efforts.
			The listed countries may
			face heightened scrutiny
			by U.S. regulatory
			authorities and in certain
			cases become subject to
			economic measures like
			tariffs or economic
			sanctions aimed at
	<u> </u>		Same of the control o

			furthering the U.S.'s counternarcotics goals.
9/18/25	Memorandum of Understanding Between the Government of the United States of America and the Government of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland Regarding the Technology Prosperity Deal	Economic Policy	The Trump administration announced a collaborative effort between the U.S. and the U.K. regarding joint opportunities in science and technology development, including acceleration of AI technology, unleashing civil nuclear energy, securing quantum advantage, and ensuring foundations for frontier research. This includes promoting U.S. and UK AI exports to offer the full stack of chips, data centers, and models; facilitating commercial partnerships while identifying and addressing market barriers to accelerate the deployment of advanced nuclear reactors in both the U.S. and the UK; and securing 6G-related developments.
9/17/25	Request for Comments on Significant Foreign Trade Barriers for the 2026 National Trade Estimate Report	Economic Policy	In preparation of its annual National Trade Estimate Report on Foreign Trade Barriers, the USTR invites interested parties to submit comments for consideration. The USTR directs that comments focus on one or more of the following trade barriers: import policies, technical barriers to trade, sanitary and phytosanitary measures, government procurement, intellectual

9/17/25	Federal Register	Economic Policy	property protection, services, investment restrictions, government subsidies, anticompetitive practices, state-owned enterprises, other nonmarket practices, labor, environmental factor, and other barriers. Commenters should also place particular emphasis on any practices that may violate U.S. trade agreements. Interested parties should submit their comments via the USTR comment portal by October 30, 2025. The USTR has requested
9/1//25	Request for Public Comments and Notice of Public Hearing Relating to the Operation of the Agreement Between the United States of America, the United Mexican States, and Canada	Economic Policy	The USTR has requested public comments on the United States-Mexico-Canada Agreement (USMCA) to aid it in developing positions and recommendations for the upcoming joint review between the 3 countries (occurring July 1, 2026). Comments may focus on any aspect of the operation or implementation of the USMCA, issues of compliance with USMCA provisions, recommendations for specific actions that USTR should propose to promote balanced trade, new market access, and alignment on economic security with Mexico and Canada, factors affecting the investment climate in North America and in the territories of each Party,

			T
			the effectiveness of the
			USMCA in promoting
			investment that
			strengthens U.S.
			competitiveness,
			productivity, and
			technological leadership,
			and strategies for
			strengthening North
			American economic
			security and
			competitiveness.
			Interested parties may
			submit comments via the
			USTR comment portal up
			to November 3, 2025.
			Written comments are due
			on November 3, 2025.
			Interested parties may
			also participate in a public
			hearing hosted by the
			USTR on November 17,
			2025, in Washington D.C.
9/16/25	Federal Register	Tariffs	The USTR opened a
			public comment period
	Request for Comments		for interested parties to
	on Whether Particular		submit comments on
	Exclusions in the Section		whether current product
	301 Investigation of		exclusions from Section
	China's Acts, Policies,		301 tariffs on Chinese-
	and Practices Related to		origin imports warrant
	Technology Transfer,		further extension past
	Intellectual Property, and		November 29, 2025.
	Innovation Warrant		Interested parties must
	Further Extension		submit comments by
			11:59pm ET on October
			16, 2025. The USTR has
			requested that comments
			focus specifically on the
			availability of products
			covered by the exclusions
			from sources outside of
			China, efforts undertaken
			to source products
1	1	1	1
			covered by the exclusions

		I	
			third countries, why
			additional time is needed
			to shift products of
			subject products away
			from China, and whether
			further extending the
			exclusion will likely
			contribute to a shift in
			sourcing the product
			outside of China.
			Additional considerations
			include the impact on the
			administration's priorities,
			U.S. interests, and the
			-
			impact on the goal of the
0/0/25	Communication Communication	T	Section 301 investigation.
9/9/25	Supreme Court	Tariffs	The Supreme Court has
	C N 24 1207 8 25		granted petitions for an
	Case Nos. 24-1287 & 25-		expedited review of two
	250		of the leading cases
			challenging the validity of
	Learning Resources, Inc.,		President Trump's IEEPA
	Et Al. v. Trump		tariff actions. The order
	&		consolidates the two cases
	V.O.S. Selections, Inc. v.		and sets a briefing
	Trump		schedule starting with
			opening briefs due on
			September 19, 2025. The
			cases will be set for oral
			argument in the first week
			of November.
9/5/25	White House	Tariffs	President Trump issued an
			executive order modifying
	Modifying the Scope of		reciprocal tariffs
	Reciprocal Tariffs and		originally set forth in
	Establishing Procedures		Executive Order 14257.
	for Implementing Trade		The order provides a
	and Security Agreements		revised Annex II list of
			products excluded from
			reciprocal tariffs. Certain
			critical mineral,
			pharmaceuticals, and
			bullion-related products
			have been added to Annex
			II and will no longer be
			subject to reciprocal

tariffs beginning September 8, 2025. Other products, including certain aluminum hydroxide, resin, and silicone products have been removed from Annex II and will be subject to reciprocal tariffs beginning on September 8, 2025. In addition, the executive order sets forth a framework for implementing existing and future trade agreements stating that the administration will generally refrain from modifying reciprocal and section 232 tariff rates before the conclusion of a final trade and security agreements between another country and the U.S. A list of products the administration is willing to provide a 0% reciprocal tariff rate for under trade agreements are set forth in Annex III to the order ("Potential Tariff Adjustments for Aligned Partners") and include agricultural goods, aircraft and aircraft parts, nonpatented articles for use in pharmaceutical applications, and goods that cannot be naturally grown or mined in the U.S. For more information, visit the White House Fact Sheet

9/5/25	White House	National	President Trump issued an
9/3/23	willte House	Security/Foreign Policy	executive order focused
	Strongthoning Efforts to	Security/Poleigh Folley	on protecting U.S.
	Strengthening Efforts to Protect U.S. Nationals		
			nationals from wrongful
	from Wrongful Detention		detention abroad. Through
	Abroad		this order, the Secretary of
			State ("the Secretary")
			may designate countries
			that directly engage in or
			support wrongful
			detention as State
			Sponsors of Wrongful
			Detention. The
			designation will be based
			on certain criteria
			including a country's
			deemed wrongful
			detention of a U.S.
			national, a foreign
			government's failure to
			release a person after
			being notified by the U.S.
			that the Secretary has
			deemed that person
			wrongfully detained, and
			a foreign government's
			support or complicity in
			furthering unlawful
			detentions. The Secretary
			may take several actions
			against such designated
			countries, including
			imposing sanctions,
			imposing travel
			restrictions, restricting
			assistance to the
			government of that nation,
			and restricting the export
			of certain goods to that
			country.
9/4/25	White House	Tariffs	President Trump issued an
	Implementing the United		executive order
	States–Japan Agreement		implementing the trade
			framework agreement
			with Japan that was
			previously announced on

July 22, 2025. The executive order implements a 15% baseline tariff on nearly all Japanese imports, including automobiles and automobile parts. Like the tariff structure for EUorigin products outlined in Executive Order 14326, the tariff rate for most Japanese-origin products will be capped at 15% (i.e., the sum of the Column 1 duty rate under the HTSUS and the additional *ad valorem* tariff pursuant to this order will be 15%). Importantly, the 15% tariff rate applies retroactively to Japanese imports entered on or after August 7, 2025. The executive order also provides that Japaneseorigin aircraft products, except for unmanned aircraft, falling under the WTO Agreement on Trade in Civil Aircraft will be excepted from the IEEPA reciprocal tariffs, Section 232 steel and aluminum tariffs, and Section 232 tariffs on copper products. In addition, the order authorizes the Secretary of Commerce to take steps in the future to eliminate reciprocal tariffs on Japanese-origin generic pharmaceuticals, pharmaceutical ingredients,

			pharmaceutical chemical precursors, and natural resources unavailable in the United States.
9/2/25	Federal Register Relaxing Export Controls for Syria	National Security/Export Controls	Consistent with the administration's relaxing of trade restrictions on Syria, the Bureau of Industry and Security (BIS) has implemented changes to export control measures related to Syria listed in section 746.9 of the EAR. Since 2013, most items subject to the EAR (including EAR99 items) were restricted from export to Syria. BIS's final rule adds new or expanded license exception eligibility for exports and reexports to Syria and adopts more permissive license review policies for exports and reexports and reexports to Syria (which were previously subject to a presumption of denial).
9/2/25	Notice of Product Exclusion Extensions: China's Acts, Policies, and Practices Related to Technology Transfer, Intellectual Property, and Innovation	Tariffs	The United States Trade Representative (USTR) announced the extension of exclusions from Section 301 tariffs for certain products from China through November 29, 2025. The exclusions were previously set to expire on August 31, 2025, pursuant to a prior exclusion extension announcement issued on May 31, 2025. Under the Section 301 action related to China, most Chineseorigin imports are subject

			to an additional tariff ranging from 7.5%-100%.
9/2/25	Public Hearing Regarding Section 301 Investigation into Certain Acts, Policies, and Practices of Brazil	National Security/Economic Policy	The USTR announced a public hearing on September 3, 2025, for the Section 301 investigation of Brazil's acts, policies, and practices related to digital trade and electronic payment services; unfair, preferential tariffs; anticorruption enforcement; intellectual property protection; ethanol market access; and illegal deforestation. The hearing took place in Washington, D.C., and a full transcript of the hearing will be posted on ustr.gov.
8/29/25	Department of Justice Departments of Justice and Homeland Security Partnering on Cross- Agency Trade Fraud Task Force	Enforcement	The DOJ announced its implementation of a Trade Fraud Task Force to pursue enforcement actions against parties that seek to evade U.S. tariffs and import duties and that engage in smuggling activities.* The Task Force will involve personnel from the DOJ's Civil and Criminal divisions as well as the Department of Homeland Security (DHS). The Task Force will focus on investigating and prosecuting violations of U.S. customs laws and may pursue civil actions under the Tariff Act of 1930 or False Claims Act (FCA) as well as criminal

	Τ	Τ	1 0 1 1
			actions under federal
			statutes.
			*A Trade Fraud Task
			Force previously existed
			under the Biden
			Administration and was
			involved in several
			customs-related
			enforcement actions
			including the Ford Motor
			Company settlement
			announced on March 11,
			2024.
8/29/25	United States Court of	Tariffs	On August 29, 2025, the
0/29/23	-	Tailis	
	Appeals for the Federal		Court of Appeals for the
	<u>Circuit</u>		Federal Circuit (CAFC)
	G N 25 1012		issued a decision in one of
	Case No. 25-1812		the leading cases
	******		challenging the validity of
	V.O.S. Selections, Inc. v.		President Trump's tariffs.
	Trump		The CAFC affirmed the
			Court of International
			Trade's (CIT) previous
			decision finding that the
			President's reciprocal and
			fentanyl tariff actions
			exceeded his authority
			under the International
			Emergency Economic
			Powers Act (IEEPA). The
			CAFC has remanded the
			case back to the CIT to
			decide whether a
			nationwide injunction of
			the tariff actions is lawful
			and whether only parties
			to the case (as opposed to
			` 11
			all importers) may receive refunds for tariff
			payments. The CAFC
			paused any action to be
			taken pursuant to its
			invalidation of the tariffs
			until October 14, 2025.
			Therefore, tariffs under

	I		41 11 4 4 41
			the subject actions will
			continue to be collected
			by CBP. On September 3,
			2025, the government
			submitted a petition to the
			Supreme Court requesting
			expedited review of the
			case. As such, it is
			possible for the tariff
			actions to remain in effect
			even after the CAFC's
			October 14 deadline.
8/27/25	Federal Register	Export Controls	The Department of State
0/2//25	1 ederal Register	Export Controls	issued a final rule
			amending the
			International Traffic in
			Arms Regulations (ITAR)
			removing certain items from the U.S. Munitions
			List (USML), adding new
			items to the USML,
			clarifying certain USML
			entries, and adding a new
			license exemption for
			certain activities related to
			unmanned underwater
			vehicles. The updates
			span over 15 of the 21
			USML categories and
			include additional
			controls on certain
			equipment for improvised
			explosive devices,
			production equipment for
			specified aircraft, engines
			for newly listed aircraft
			models, and materials for
			nerve agents. Notably, the
			new additions to the
			USML outpace the
			number of items removed
			from the USML
			highlighting a shift toward
			greater ITAR controls.
			The new rule becomes
	l		The new rule occomes

			effective on September
8/25/25	Federal Register	Economic Policy/Tariffs	15, 2025. On August 13, 2025, the
8/25/25	Notice of Request for Public Comments on Section 232 National Security Investigation of Imports of Wind Turbines and Their Parts and Components	Economic Policy/Tariffs	On August 13, 2025, the Secretary of Commerce initiated an investigation under Section 232 of the Trade Expansion Act of 1962 to determine the national security impact of imports of wind turbines and their parts and components. Interested parties may submit comments to the Department of Commerce Bureau of Industry and Security (BIS) for consideration in the investigation. The deadline to submit comments is September 9, 2025. This investigation may result in the implementation of new tariffs on wind turbine
			products from all countries.
8/21/25	White House Joint Statement on a United States-European Union Framework on an Agreement on Reciprocal, Fair, and Balanced Trade	Economic Policy/Tariffs	The U.S. and EU announced a framework agreement on trade relations that sets forth tariff rate expectations and terms to be considered by the two countries in future negotiations. The framework agreement states the U.S. will apply the higher of the U.S. Most Favored Nation (MFN) rate or a 15% tariff rate on most EU-origin imports. Additionally, the U.S. will only apply the MFN tariff rate to certain unavailable

			1 . 1
			natural resources, aircraft
			and aircraft parts, and
			pharmaceutical products.
			In addition, EU-origin
			products that are subject
			to certain Section 232
			tariffs including
			pharmaceuticals, lumber,
			semiconductors, and
			automobiles, will be
			subject to a 15% total
			tariff rate. The framework
			suggests that the two
			countries will engage in
			future negotiations
			_
			regarding additional
			products that are or may
			become subject to Section
			232 tariffs including steel,
			aluminum, and derivative
0/40/07	****	7 17 1	products.
8/19/25	<u>USTR</u>	Forced Labor	The United States Trade
			Representative (USTR)
	Forced Labor		published annual updates
	Enforcement Task Force		to the "Strategy to Prevent
	Release of the 2025		the Importation of Goods
	Update to the UFLPA		Mined, Produced, or
	Strategy		Manufactured with
			Forced Labor in the
			People's Republic of
			China." This strategy
			details the inter-agency
			efforts to support and
			pursue enforcement of the
			Uyghur Forced Labor
			Prevention Act (UFLPA).
			The 2025 updates
			highlight the addition of
			78 new entities to the
			UFLPA Entity List since
			2024, effectively
			expanding the number of
			Chinese parties whose
			goods are subject to the
			forced labor rebuttable
			presumption and
I			presumption and

			prohibited from entry into
			the U.S. In addition, the
			updates highlight new
			priority sectors for forced
			labor enforcement
			including in steel, copper,
0/40/07			and lithium industries.
8/19/25	White House	Export Controls	The White House
			announced the signing of
	Congressional Bill H.R.		Congressional Bill H.R.
	1316 Signed into Law		1316 (Maintaining
			American Superiority by
			Improving Export Control
			Transparency Act) into
			law. The Act amends the
			Export Control Reform
			Act of 2018 to require the
			Secretary of Commerce to
			report annually to
			Congress on license
			applications received for
			the export of dual-use
			items.
8/15/25	White House	Economic Policy	On August 15, 2025, the
		,	Office of Management
	The Office of Federal		and Budget's Office of
	Procurement Policy		Federal Procurement
	Launches Landmark		Policy launched an update
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	Update to FAR. Ushering		to the Federal Acquisition
	Update to FAR, Ushering in a New Era for		to the Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR) in
	in a New Era for		Regulation (FAR) in
	_ =		Regulation (FAR) in pursuance of the
	in a New Era for		Regulation (FAR) in pursuance of the Revolutionary FAR
	in a New Era for		Regulation (FAR) in pursuance of the
	in a New Era for		Regulation (FAR) in pursuance of the Revolutionary FAR Overhaul (RFO), which is meant to return the FAR
	in a New Era for		Regulation (FAR) in pursuance of the Revolutionary FAR Overhaul (RFO), which is meant to return the FAR to its statutory roots. This
	in a New Era for		Regulation (FAR) in pursuance of the Revolutionary FAR Overhaul (RFO), which is meant to return the FAR to its statutory roots. This action authorizes agencies
	in a New Era for		Regulation (FAR) in pursuance of the Revolutionary FAR Overhaul (RFO), which is meant to return the FAR to its statutory roots. This action authorizes agencies to immediately begin
	in a New Era for		Regulation (FAR) in pursuance of the Revolutionary FAR Overhaul (RFO), which is meant to return the FAR to its statutory roots. This action authorizes agencies to immediately begin deleting one-third of
	in a New Era for		Regulation (FAR) in pursuance of the Revolutionary FAR Overhaul (RFO), which is meant to return the FAR to its statutory roots. This action authorizes agencies to immediately begin deleting one-third of requirements from their
	in a New Era for		Regulation (FAR) in pursuance of the Revolutionary FAR Overhaul (RFO), which is meant to return the FAR to its statutory roots. This action authorizes agencies to immediately begin deleting one-third of requirements from their future contracts not
	in a New Era for		Regulation (FAR) in pursuance of the Revolutionary FAR Overhaul (RFO), which is meant to return the FAR to its statutory roots. This action authorizes agencies to immediately begin deleting one-third of requirements from their future contracts not required by statute or
	in a New Era for		Regulation (FAR) in pursuance of the Revolutionary FAR Overhaul (RFO), which is meant to return the FAR to its statutory roots. This action authorizes agencies to immediately begin deleting one-third of requirements from their future contracts not required by statute or executive orders and
	in a New Era for		Regulation (FAR) in pursuance of the Revolutionary FAR Overhaul (RFO), which is meant to return the FAR to its statutory roots. This action authorizes agencies to immediately begin deleting one-third of requirements from their future contracts not required by statute or executive orders and having little to do with
	in a New Era for		Regulation (FAR) in pursuance of the Revolutionary FAR Overhaul (RFO), which is meant to return the FAR to its statutory roots. This action authorizes agencies to immediately begin deleting one-third of requirements from their future contracts not required by statute or executive orders and having little to do with contract outcomes in
	in a New Era for		Regulation (FAR) in pursuance of the Revolutionary FAR Overhaul (RFO), which is meant to return the FAR to its statutory roots. This action authorizes agencies to immediately begin deleting one-third of requirements from their future contracts not required by statute or executive orders and having little to do with

	,		
			solutions. The Office of
			Federal Procurement
			Policy (OFPP) and the
			FAR Council are
			releasing the FAR
			Companion Guide,
			Practitioner's Albums,
			and Category Guide to
			provide tips and tools
			regarding the FAR. OFPP
			is now also directing
			agencies to use
			government-wide
			contracts for common
			commercial products and
			*
			services including "best-
			in-class" and "preferred"
			contracts, rather than
			maintaining their own
			contracts, and OFPP will
			create the criteria to
			qualify contracts as "best-
			in-class" for mandatory
			use. OFPP also has
			eliminated outdated
			procedures and
			consolidated key
			procedures under a
			modernized FAR Part 8,
			retired FAR parts 38 and
			51, centralized
			information and supply
			chain security policies
			into a single FAR part,
			and imposed changes to
			make sign up and
			maintenance of
			registration easier for
			contractors.
8/13/25	White House	National Security	President Trump issued an
S. 15, 25		1.a.ioilai Soulity	executive order to
	Enabling Competition in		enhance America's space
	the Commercial Space		industry by streamlining
	Industry		commercial license and
	industry		permit approval processes
			for U.S. operators. It
			101 U.S. operators, it

directs the Secretary of Transportation to use all available authorities to eliminate and expedite the Department of Transportation's environmental reviews and other obstacles to the granting of launch and reentry licenses and permits. The Secretary of Transportation will reevaluate, amend, or rescind the regulations at Part 450 of title 14, Code of Federal Regulations as appropriate and will report a description of actions taken within 120 days of this order. The Secretary of Defense, the Secretary of the Interior, the Secretary of Transportation, and the Administrator of NASA will also expedite environmental and administrative review activity relevant to spaceport infrastructure development. The Chair of the Council on **Environmental Quality** will also establish new categorical exclusions under the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) for spaceport development actions that do not typically have a significant effect on the quality of the human environment. Additionally, the Secretary of Commerce will propose a process for

		1	
			individualized mission
			authorizations for
			activities covered by
			Article VI of the Outer
			Space Treaty of 1967. The
			Secretary of
			Transportation will create
			a position in the Office of
			the Secretary to advise the
			Secretary of
			Transportation on
			fostering innovation and
			deregulation in the
			commercial space
			-
8/13/25	White House	Economic	transportation industry.
0/13/23	willte House		President Trump issued an executive order focused
	Enganina Amarican	Policy/National Security	
	Ensuring American		on restocking the domestic reserve of
	Pharmaceutical Supply		Active Pharmaceutical
	Chain Resilience by		
	Filling the Strategic		Ingredients (APIs). It
	Active Pharmaceutical		directs the Office of the
	Ingredients Reserve		Assistant Secretary for
			Preparedness and
			Response (ASPR) to
			develop a list of
			approximately 26 drugs
			critical to national health
			and security (the "critical
			drugs") and an accounting
			of funds that can be used
			to prepare and open the
			Strategic Active
			Pharmaceutical
			Ingredients Reserve
			(SAPIR) within 30 days.
			The order also directs the
			ASPR to obtain and
			maintain a six-month
			supply of the critical
			drugs. Within 120 days,
			ASPR should ready the
			existing SAPIR repository
			to be able to receive and
			maintain APIs, and ASPR
			will obtain the six-month

	T	T	
			supply of APIs when the
			funds are available and
			place them in the
			repository no later than 30
			days after the repository is
			ready. Within 90 days, the
			ASPR will provide certain
			offices and officials with
			an update to 2022 list of
			86 essential medicines
			and medical
			countermeasures and a
			plan to obtain (from
			domestic manufacturing
			when possible), store, and
			maintain a six-month
			supply of APIs for the
			updated medicine list
			when necessary. The plan
			should also include a cost
			estimate and a proposal
			for a second SAPIR
			repository within a year.
8/11/25	White House	Economic Policy/Tariffs	President Trump issued an
		,	executive order extending
	Further Modifying		the suspension of
	Reciprocal Tariff Rates to		heightened tariffs on
	Reflect Ongoing		Chinese-origin imports.
	Discussions with the		The Order states that the
	People's Republic of		continuing pause on the
	China		heightened tariffs is due
			to China's ongoing
			participation in trade
			negotiations with the U.S.
			during which China has
			taken "significant steps"
			to remedy economic and
			national security concerns
			of the U.S. Pursuant to
	I		
			this Order, the reciprocal
			this Order, the reciprocal tariff rate for Chinese-
			tariff rate for Chinese-
			tariff rate for Chinese- origin imports will remain
			tariff rate for Chinese- origin imports will remain at 10% until November
			tariff rate for Chinese- origin imports will remain

			White House Fact Sheet
			<u>here</u> .
8/6/25	White House	Economic Policy/Tariffs	President Trump issued ar
			executive order
	Addressing Threats to the		implementing a 25% tariff
	United States by the		on Indian-origin imports
	Government of the		to go into effect on
	Russian Federation		August 27, 2025. The new
			tariff is a response to
			India's continued
			importation of Russian
			oil, both directly and
			indirectly. The order cites
			the Russia-Ukraine
			conflict as the source of
			concern and emphasizes
			the continued threat
			Russia poses to U.S.
			national security and
			foreign policy objectives.
			The 25% tariff on Indian-
			origin products imposed
			under this order will stack
			with the other applicable
			duties, unless the subject
			products are subject to
			tariffs under Section 232
			of the Trade Expansion
			Act (e.g., product-specific
			tariffs on automobiles,
			auto parts, steel and
			aluminum, and copper,
			etc.) in which case the
			25% additional tariff will
			not apply. The Secretary
			of State will recommend
			additional necessary
			action to be taken in
			response to any retaliation
			from Russia or other
			countries. The Secretary
			of Commerce will lead
			continuous monitoring
			efforts to determine
			whether other countries
			are similarly importing

	-		Danaina ail a 1
			Russian oil and
			recommend imposition of
			a 25% tariff on articles of
			such countries. A White
			House Fact Sheet on this
			topic is available <u>here</u> .
8/4/25	White House	Economic Policy	President Trump has
		•	released more information
	President Trump		on the demands the
	Demands America First		administration has for
	Prescription Drug Pricing		pharmaceutical companies
	Frescription Drug Frienig		1 = -
			and the subsequent letters
			sent to the 17 leading
			pharmaceutical
			companies. This follows
			the fact sheet <u>here</u>
			outlining the President's
			plan to bring down
			prescription drug prices
			for American consumers
			to match the lowest price
			offered in other developed
			countries (the most-
			favored-nation or MFN
			price). The letters outline
			demands to complete in
			60 days, including
			lowering drug prices to
			match MFN pricing,
			raising prices
			internationally, and
			reinvesting any increased
			revenue into lowering
			prices for American
			consumers. The letters ask
			for binding commitments
			by the recipient countries
			to align with the
			administration's
			prescription drug pricing
			goals by September 29,
7/21/25	II.C. C	TD : CC	2025.
7/31/25	U.S. Court of Appeals for	Tariffs	On July 31, 2025, the U.S.
	the Federal Circuit		Court of Appeals for the
			Federal Circuit heard oral
	Case No. 25-1812		arguments in one of the

	V.O.S. Selections, Inc. v. Trump		major cases challenging the validity of President Trump's tariff actions under IEEPA. This case is currently on appeal following a decision by the Court of International Trade (CIT) to enjoin the tariff actions. The government quickly appealed the CIT's decision and requested a stay to halt the enjoinment of the tariffs while the appeal is pending. The Court of Appeals is expected to issue a decision in this case in the coming weeks which is likely to be followed by an appeal and eventual escalation to the U.S. Supreme Court.
7/31/25	White House	Economic Policy/Tariffs	President Trump issued an executive order increasing
	Amendment to Duties to Address the Flow of		duties on Canadian-origin imports initially imposed
	Illicit Drugs Across Our		under Executive Order
	Northern Border		14193 of February 1,
			2025, to address the
			declared national
			emergency related to fentanyl trafficking and
			other criminal activity
			other erminar activity
i l			along the U.SCanadian
			along the U.SCanadian border. The order raises
			along the U.SCanadian border. The order raises the tariff rate for most
			along the U.SCanadian border. The order raises the tariff rate for most Canadian-origin products
			along the U.SCanadian border. The order raises the tariff rate for most Canadian-origin products from 25% to 35%, while
			along the U.SCanadian border. The order raises the tariff rate for most Canadian-origin products
			along the U.SCanadian border. The order raises the tariff rate for most Canadian-origin products from 25% to 35%, while specified Canadian-origin energy products remain subject to a 10% tariff
			along the U.SCanadian border. The order raises the tariff rate for most Canadian-origin products from 25% to 35%, while specified Canadian-origin energy products remain subject to a 10% tariff rate. The order cites
			along the U.SCanadian border. The order raises the tariff rate for most Canadian-origin products from 25% to 35%, while specified Canadian-origin energy products remain subject to a 10% tariff

			cooperate with efforts to
			stem the flow of fentanyl
			across the northern
			border, it's failure to
			-
			adequately devote
			resources to address drug
			trafficking and other
			criminal activity, and
			Canada's implementation
			of retaliatory measures
			against the U.S. following
			the President's tariff
			actions. Any goods
			transshipped to evade the
			application of duties
			under this order will be
			subject to a 40% tariff rate
			in addition to other
			applicable duties, fines, or
			penalties. The increased
			tariff rates under this
			order will go into effect
			on August 1, 2025. For
			more information, see the
			White House Fact Sheet
			on this topic <u>here</u> .
7/31/25	White House	Economic Policy/Tariffs	President Trump issued an
7731723	<u> </u>	Economic Foney, Furnis	executive order revising
	Further Modifying the		reciprocal tariff rates first
	Reciprocal Tariff Rates		announced on April 2,
	Recipiocal Farm Rates		Liberation Day. Annex I
			of the order provides
			country-specific tariff
			rates that go into effect
			August 7, 2025. Notably,
			EU-origin products will
			only face up to a 15%
			total tariff rate under the
			new order. Other
			countries listed in Annex I
			will be subject to additional tariff rates
			ranging from 10%-41%.
			Countries not listed in
			Annex I of the order
			remain subject to a

		1	
			baseline 10% tariff rate.
			Importantly, this order
			does not modify the
			reciprocal tariff rate for
			Chinese-origin goods set
			forth in E.O. 14298 from
			May 12, 2025. Items
			determined by CBP to be
			transshipped through third
			countries to avoid
			application of tariffs will
			face an additional 40%
			tariff as well other
			applicable duties and
			appropriate fines or
			penalties. CBP will not
			permit mitigation or
			remission of the penalties.
			The Secretaries of
			Homeland Security and
			Commerce through the
			Commissioner of CBP
			will issue a list of
			countries and facilities
			used in circumvention
			schemes and update this
			list every 6 months to
			assist with commercial
			due diligence efforts.
7/30/25	White House	Economic Policy/Tariffs	President Trump issued an
			executive order raising the
	Addressing Threats to		tariff rate on Brazil to a
	The United States by		total of 50% starting
	The Government of		August 7. The order cites
	Brazil		the trial of former
			Brazilian president
			Bolsonaro as a cause,
			calling it unjust political
			persecution. The order
			also cites the treatment of
			U.S. online platforms as a
			cause, stating that actions
			by Brazilian officials
			facilitate persecution of
			political critics and
			censorship of U.S.
			consorsing or o.s.

			Tr1 1
			persons. The order
			specifically brings up
			Judge Alexandre de
			Moraes as an authority
			figure abusing his power
			in Brazil. The order states
			that the rate will be raised
			should Brazil retaliate.
			The tariff will stack and
			won't apply to imports
			excepted by 50 U.S.C.
			1702(b) or set forth in
			Annex I to this order
			including precious metals
			and civil aircraft and its
			parts and components. For
			more information, visit
7/20/25	W76.24 - TT	E	here.
7/30/25	White House	Economic Policy/Tariffs	President Trump issued an
			executive order revising
	Suspending Duty Free De		previous executive orders
	Minimis Treatment for		on the suspension of de
	All Countries		minimis treatment for
			imports from Canada,
			Mexico, and China and
			_
			duty-free <i>de minimis</i>
			treatment will be subject
			to a tariff equal to the
			IEEPA tariff rate
			(reciprocal tariff)
			applicable to items
			originating from the
			respective country. For 6
			months after the
			implementation of the de
			<i>minimis</i> suspension, items
			=
1			system may alternatively
			to a tariff equal to the IEEPA tariff rate (reciprocal tariff) applicable to items originating from the respective country. For 6 months after the implementation of the <i>de minimis</i> suspension, items shipped via the international postal

			be subject to specific duty rates based on the country of origin of the subject items. Under this method, a package shipped from a country with an IEEPA duty rate of less than 16% will be subject to an \$80 duty per item, a package shipped from a country with an IEEPA duty rate between 16-25% will be subject to a \$160 duty per item, and a package shipped from a country with an IEEPA duty rate above 25% will be subject to a \$200 duty per item. After 6 months, all items will be subject to the IEEPA tariff rate associated with the subject country of origin.
7/30/25	White House	Economic Policy/Tariffs	The White House has issued a proclamation
	Adjusting Imports of Copper Into the United		implementing tariffs on copper imports. This
	States		follows a Section 232
			investigation and report
			on the impacts of imports
			of all copper, which found
			that such imports and its quantities impact national
			security and weaken the
			U.S. economy and
			domestic production.
			Semi-finished copper and
			intensive copper imports
			will face a 50% tariff rate when being imported to
			the U.S. This tariff rate
			goes into effect on August
i .			5000 11110 0111001 0111105001
			1 and will stack. The
			1 and will stack. The Secretary of Commerce
			1 and will stack. The

	Г	1	1
			to the HTSUS are
			necessary and within 90
			days will create an
			inclusion process for
			additional copper
			derivatives. This tariff
			will only apply to the
			copper content in an
			import, and non-copper
			content will instead face
			tariffs it would normally
			<u> </u>
			be subject to. The CBP
			will issue authoritative
			guidance to mandate strict
			compliance with
			declaration requirements
			for copper content. This
			guidance will also outline
			the maximum penalties
			for noncompliance, which
			can include criminal
			liability, loss of import
			privileges, and large
			monetary penalties. The
			proclamation notes that
			importers with
			underreported
			declarations may face
			severe consequences.
			Imports subject to both
			this tariff and the tariff on
			automobiles and auto
			parts will only be subject
			to the tariffs on
			automobiles and auto
			parts. Additionally, the
			Secretary will implement
			the domestic sales
			requirements for copper
			input materials and high-
			quality copper scrap
			material that the Secretary
			recommended in the
			report.
7/28/25	White House	Economic Policy/Tariffs	The White House issued a
			statement on the details of
	l		2.3.com on the details of

Fact Sheet: The United States and European Union Reach Massive Trade Deal the U.S.-EU trade deal. Under the agreement, the EU will be subject to a 15% tariff rate, including on certain products covered by Section 232 tariffs like semiconductors, pharmaceuticals, automobiles, and auto parts. The White House statement also provides that the EU is to invest in certain U.S. industries and negotiate with the U.S. to secure supply chains for other products covered by Section 232 tariffs including copper and derivative products. The EU is set to address U.S. concerns over access to certain UK markets by reducing non-tariff barriers impacting U.S. industrial and agricultural exports. The U.S. and the E.U. will work together to strengthen national security to protect supply chains, establish strong rules of origin to address transshipping concerns, and to address unjustified digital service barriers. In regards to digital trade, the White House statement indicates that the EU will not adopt or maintain network usage fees, and neither country will impose duties on electronic transmissions. Notably, this agreement is not final and must be

			reviewing market access
			and trade barriers that
T /22 /25	**************************************	. D 1: /E :00	may impact U.S. exports.
7/23/25	White House	Economic Policy/Tariffs	Following an
			announcement by
	Fact Sheet: President		President Trump, the
	Donald J. Trump Secures		White House issued a
	Unprecedented U.S.—		Fact Sheet providing an
	Japan Strategic Trade and		overview of key aspects
	Investment Agreement		of a new trade agreement
			reached between the U.S.
			and Japan. Under this
			agreement, imports of
			Japanese-origin products
			will be subject to a
			"baseline" 15% tariff rate.
			The Fact Sheet states that
			Japan will invest \$550
			billion to help with the
			rebuilding and expansion
			of key American industry
			sectors including in the
			energy infrastructure,
			semiconductor
			manufacturing and
			research, pharmaceutical
			and medical production,
			shipbuilding, and critical
			mineral mining,
			_
			processing, and refining. The framework also
			provides that Japan will
			increase its purchase and
			import of certain U.S
			origin products including
			rice, corn, soybeans,
			energy products,
			commercial aircraft and
			defense equipment, ant
7/22/27	XX71 *.	D ' D 1' / D 100	automobiles.
7/22/25	White House	Economic Policy/Tariffs	The White House issued a
	T 1 . G		formal statement
	Joint Statement on		announcing a new
	Framework for United		preliminary trade
	States-Indonesia		agreement between the
			U.S. and Indonesia. The

Agreement on Reciprocal announcement provides Trade an overview of the "Framework" the two countries have agreed upon to serve as the foundation for future negotiations and trade relations. Importantly, the Framework provides that imports of Indonesianorigin products into the U.S. will be subject to a 19% tariff rate that may be further reduced for certain commodities not naturally available in the U.S. Indonesia is also set to eliminate "approximately 99 percent of tariff barriers" that impact a wide-range of U.S. exports. Indonesia and the U.S. will continue to work together to further decrease non-tariff trade barriers in Indonesia that impact bilateral trade and investment between the two countries such as Indonesian. These efforts may include amending Indonesian requirements related to local content standards for imports, motor vehicle safety and emissions standards, labeling requirements, and pharmaceutical marketing authorization requirements. The Framework also states that Indonesia will work to address concerns related to intellectual

property protections detailed in the USTR's

7/16/25	Federal Register	National	Special 301 Report. Other key areas addressed in the Framework include the lowering of Indonesian barriers to digital trade, services, and investment; the strengthening of environmental protections and labor rights in Indonesia; and the removal of Indonesian restrictions impacting U.S. exports of industrial products and critical minerals. To see the accompanying White House Fact Sheet on this topic, visit here. The Department of
	Notice of Request for Public Comments on Section 232 National Security Investigation of Imports of Polysilicon and its Derivatives	Security/Economic Policy	Commerce has issued a notice of request for public comments on the Section 232 investigation into the national security impacts of imports of polysilicon and derivative products. This investigation was initiated on July 1, 2025, pursuant to Section 232 of the Trade Expansion Act of
			1962. Commerce is seeking public comments on a variety of topics related to polysilicon imports including the current and projected demand in the U.S. for these products, domestic production capacities, the role of foreign supply chains in meeting U.S. demand, the concentration of U.S. imports from a small number of polysilicon

			suppliers and associated
			risks, and the impact of
			predatory trade practices
			and foreign government
			subsidies on competition.
			Interested parties may
			now submit comments to
			BIS's Office of Strategic
			Industries and Economic
			Security for consideration
7/16/25	Endamil Desistan	Notional	until August 6, 2025.
7/16/25	Federal Register	National	The Department of
		Security/Economic	Commerce has issued a
	Section 232 National	Policy	notice of request for
	Security Investigation of		public comments on the
	Imports of Unmanned		Section 232 investigation
	Aircraft Systems and		into imports of unmanned
	Their Parts and		aircraft systems (UAS)
	Components		and their parts and
	_		components. This
			investigation was initiated
			on July 1, 2025, pursuant
			to Section 232 of the
			Trade Expansion Act of
			1962 to determine the
			effects of such imports on
			U.S. national security.
			_ I
			This investigation follows
			President Trump's June 6
			Executive Order directing
			relevant departments to
			take actions to assist with
			the promotion of the U.S.
			drone industry.
			Commerce seeks
			commentary on a variety
			of topics related to UAS
			imports including the
			current and projected
			demand in the U.S.,
			domestic production
			capacities for UAS, the
			role of foreign supply
			chains in meeting U.S.
			_
			demand, the concentration
			of U.S. imports from a

7/15/25	Federal Register Initiation of Section 301	Economic Policy	small number of suppliers or foreign nations and associated risks, the feasibility of increasing domestic production capacity for UAS, the impact of predatory trade practices and foreign government subsidies on trade competition, and the impact of current domestic policies on domestic UAS production. Interested parties have until August 6, 2025, to submit their comments to BIS's Office of Strategic Industries and Economic for consideration. The USTR has announced a Section 301 investigation, following
	Investigation: Brazil's Acts, Policies, and		President Trump's direction, of the acts,
	Practices Related to Digital Trade and Electronic Payment		policies, and practices of Brazil in relation to digital trade and electronic
	Services; Unfair,		payment services
	Preferential Tariffs; Anti-		undermining the
	Corruption Enforcement; Intellectual Property		competitiveness of U.S. companies, preferential
	Protection; Ethanol		tariffs leading to unfair
	Market Access; and		treatment of U.S. exports
	Illegal Deforestation;		to Brazil, IP protections,
	Hearing; and Request for Public Comments		the anti-corruption enforcement, ethanol
			market policies that
			disadvantage the U.S.,
			and illegal deforestation
			impacting U.S. lumber exports. Interested parties
			are invited to submit
			comments on the topics
			listed above to the USTR
			for consideration by

		T	1
			August 18, 2025. At the
			conclusion of the USTR's
			investigation, which
			includes consideration of
			public comments and
			completion of public
			hearing procedures, the
			USTR may determine that
			certain actions, such as
			the implementation of
			additional tariffs, must be
			taken to address the
			negative impacts of
			Brazil's acts, policies, and
			practices.
7/9/25	Federal Register	U.S. Economic	*
113143	redetat Register	Sanctions	OFAC has published a list of General Licenses (GLs)
	Publication of Global	Sanctions	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	Terrorism Sanctions		regarding transactions
	Regulations and Foreign		involving Ansarallah (otherwise known as the
			Houthis), which has been
	Terrorist Organizations Sanctions Regulations		designated as a foreign
	Web General Licenses		_
			terrorist organization
	22A, 23A, 24A, 25A,		since January 22, 2025.
	26A, and 28A		Several GLs are detailed
			and provide authorization
			for transactions involving
			the following: agriculture,
			medical care, technology,
			communication, personal
			and noncommercial
			remittances, refined
			petroleum, necessary
			airport and port
			operations, and third party
			diplomatic and consular
			missions. Outside of these
			authorizations, such
			transactions are prohibited
			by the Foreign Terrorist
			Organizations Sanctions
			Regulations and the
			Global Terrorism
			Sanctions Regulations.
			Financial transfers
			involving Ansarallah, or

	Ī		
			any entity in which
			Ansarallah owns, directly
			or indirectly, a 50% or
			greater interest, remain
			prohibited.
7/7/25	White House	Tariffs	President Trump issued an
			Executive Order delaying
	Extending The		the date country-specific
	Modification of the		tariff rates are to go into
	Reciprocal Tariff Rates		effect until August 1,
	Treespream runni runes		2025. The country-
			specific tariff rates
			originally announced on
			_ ,
			April 2, 2025, were
			previously delayed for 90
			days and set to go into
			effect on July 9, 2025,
			under Executive Order
			14266 ("Modifying
			Reciprocal Tariff Rates to
			Reflect Trading Partner
			Retaliation and
			Alignment"). Under this
			most recent Order, a 10%
			baseline reciprocal tariff
			rate will remain in place
			for all countries other than
			China until August 1,
			2025, when specific rates
			are implemented. This
			Order does not impact the
			10% reciprocal tariff on
			China which remains in
			effect under Executive
			Order 14298 until August
			12, 2025, or the 20% tariff
			on Chinese goods
			implemented in response
			to China's synthetic
			opioid supply chain. In
			the meantime, the
			President is issuing letters
			to countries previewing
			what their tariff rate will
			become on August 1. For
			more information, visit

			the White House Fact
7/1/25	Federal Register International Criminal Court-Related Sanctions Regulations	U.S. Economic Sanctions	the White House Fact Sheet here. OFAC has issued regulations to implement the International Criminal Court-Related sanctions authorized under Executive Order 14203 issued on February 6, 2025. The regulations formalize restrictions on parties connected to the ICC that are identified as blocked parties on OFAC's Specially Designated Nationals (SDN) List. Importantly, the regulations incorporate 6 previously issued general licenses (GLs) authorizing the provision of certain legal services, receipt of payments for legal services, provision of emergency medical services, collection of account service charges, transactions related to the provision of agricultural commodities, medicine, medical devices and
			certain non-commercial software updates, as well as U.S. government business dealings involving individuals or entities blocked pursuant
			to E.O. 14203.
6/30/25	White House National Security Presidential Memorandum/NSPM-5	U.S. Economic Sanctions	President Trump issued a Memorandum continuing restrictive policies toward Cuba that were implemented under a similar memorandum during his first

	T	1	1 1
			administration and mostly
			maintained throughout the
			Biden Administration.
			The policy objectives
			include ending economic
			practices that
			disproportionately benefit
			the Cuban government at
			the expense of its people
			and supporting the
			economic embargo
			against the nation.
			Notably, the new
			Memorandum orders the
			Secretary of State to
			publish a list of entities
			controlled by or acting on
			behalf of the Cuban
			government with which
			direct and indirect
			financial transactions
			would be prohibited. The
			Memorandum also directs
			the Secretaries of
			Commerce, State, and
			Transportation to initiate a
			process to adjust current
			regulations related to
			transactions with Cuba
			within 30 days.
6/30/25	White House	U.S. Economic	President Trump issued an
0,50,20		Sanctions	executive order revoking
	Providing For the	Sanctions	the declared national
	Revocation of Syria		emergency regarding
	Sanctions		Syria and the related
	Sanctions		sanctions imposed under 6
			executive orders issued
			between 2004-2011. To
			implement this E.O.,
			OFAC has removed 518
			previously sanctioned
			parties from its Specially
			Designated Nationals
			(SDN) List. As such, a
			wide range of previously
			prohibited transactions

			T
			with Syrian parties are
			now permissible. The
			E.O. Maintains sanctions
			against the Bashar al-
			Assad regime and
			associated parties that
			undermine the peace and
			security of Syria. The
			order also authorizes the
			Secretary of State to
			sanction foreign
			individuals, and their
			adult family members,
			who harm or attempt to
			harm or prevent efforts to
			promote a stable, unified,
			and peaceful Syria. In
			addition, certain
			remaining sanctions
			provisions, such as those
			enacted under the Ceasar
			Act, require congressional
			action to be removed or
			modified. Accordingly,
			the E.O. directs relevant
			agencies to review and
			submit briefings to
			appropriate congressional
			committees as necessary
			to suspend these
			measures.
6/20/25	Supreme Court	Tariffs	The U.S. Supreme Court
			has issued an Order
	Order No. 24-1287		denying the Petitioner's
			request for an expedited
	Learning Resources, Inc.,		review of a case
	Et Al. v. Trump,		challenging President
	President of U.S., Et Al.		Trump's implementation
	2 . estate.tt oj 0.5., Li 11t.		of tariffs under the
			International Emergency
			Economic Powers Act
			(IEEPA). As a result, the
			subject case will proceed
			through the typical
			appeals process and will

			not be immediately heard
			by the Supreme Court.
6/16/25	White House	Economic Policy/Tariffs	President Trump issued an
0. 20. 20			executive order to
	Implementing The		implement terms from the
	General Terms of The		U.SUK trade deal
	United States of		originally announced on
	America-United		May 8, 2025. The Order
	Kingdom Economic		establishes an annual
	Prosperity Deal		tariff-rate quota of
	1 Tospetity Dear		100,000 automobiles to
			enter from the UK with a
			combined tariff rate of
			10%. Automobiles
			imported in excess of this
			quota will be subject to
			the full Proclamation
			10908 tariffs (25%). In
			addition, certain
			automative parts that are
			UK-origin, and for use in
			UK-origin vehicles will
			be subject to a combined
			10% tariff rate. UK-origin
			aerospace products that
			fall under the WTO
			Agreement on Trade in
			Civil Aircraft will not be
			subject to tariffs from (all
			as amended) E.O. 14257,
			Proclamation 9704, and
			Proclamation 9705. No
			tariff scheme has
			currently been set for steel
			and aluminum (and the
			derivatives), but the Order
			authorizes the Secretary
			of Commerce to develop a
			tariff rate quota for these
			products in consultation
			with the USTR. For more
			information visit here.
6/13/25	White House	National	President Trump has
		Security/Economic	issued an executive order
	Regarding The Proposed	Policy	on the Nippon Steel
	Acquisition of United		acquisition of United

	G G. 1G		Grand Grand
	States Steel Corporation		States Steel Corporation
	by Nippon Steel		following a review by the
	Corporation		Committee on Foreign
			Investment in the United
			States (CFIUS), which
			found that the deal could
			pose threats to U.S.
			national security.
			President Biden issued a
			prior Executive Order on
			January 3, 2025,
			prohibiting the subject
			acquisition. President
			Trump has now modified
			the prior Order to allow
			the subject transaction to
			proceed contingent on the
			involved parties executing
			a National Security
			Agreement (NSA) with
			the Department of the
			Treasury, as well as other
			necessary CFIUS member
			agencies. Until the NSA
			has been executed or both
			parties abandon the
			transaction, CFIUS is to
			monitor the proposed
			transaction and to act as
			necessary to protect U.S.
			,
			national security. While
			there has been no official
			statement, public sources
			indicate that the parties
			involved plan to move
6/4.6 /2.5			forward with the deal.
6/12/25	Federal Register	National	The USTR issued a
		Security/Maritime and	Notice detailing proposed
	Notice of Proposed	Logistics	modifications to actions
	Modification of Action in		originally announced on
	Section 301 Investigation		April 17, 2025, pursuant
	of China's Targeting the		to the USTR's
	Maritime, Logistics, and		investigation into China's
	Shipbuilding Sectors for		targeting of the maritime,
	Dominance		logistics, and shipbuilding
			sectors. The proposed
L	l .		The proposed

			modifications include a change in the fee basis under Annex III for certain vessels to net tons and eliminating the term providing for the suspension of export licenses set forth in Annex IV. Importantly, the USTR has requested public comments on these proposed modifications. Interested parties may submit comments to the
			USTR for consideration up to July 7, 2025.
6/10/25	U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit Order in Case # 2025-1812 & Case #2025-1813	Tariffs	The U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit issued an Order confirming that President Trump's IEEPA tariffs will remain in place until the subject consolidated cases challenging the validity of the tariff actions are decided on their merits. The Order proposes that oral arguments for the consolidated cases shall be heard en banc by the full court on an expedited basis (an uncommon occurrence). As of date, the oral arguments are expected to be heard on
6/9/25	Department of Justice Guidelines for Investigations and Enforcement of the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act (FCPA)	Corporate Enforcement /Department of Justice	July 31, 2025. On June 9, 2025, the U.S. Deputy Attorney General issued much-anticipated new guidelines on FCPA investigations and enforcement. The new guidelines follow a pause in FCPA enforcement pursuant to an Executive

Order issued by President Trump on February 10, 2025. The guidelines align DOJ enforcement and investigations of FCPA violations with the policy objectives outlined in President Trump's earlier Executive Order that focused on tailoring FCPA enforcement to address conduct that directly undermines certain U.S. national interests and limiting burdens on American companies operating abroad. As such, one primary consideration prosecutors must now take into account when pursuing a potential new FCPA action is whether the alleged misconduct relates to activities of Cartels or TCOs. In addition, prosecutors are directed to focus enforcement on individual conduct rather than conduct at the corporation level that is related to routine business practices, and to consider potential disruption of corporate activities throughout an FCPA investigation. Initiation of all new FCPA investigation. Initiation of all new FCPA investigation and enforcement actions are required to be authorized by the Assistant Attorney General for the Criminal Division.			1	
2025. The guidelines align DOJ enforcement and investigations of FCPA violations with the policy objectives outlined in President Trump's earlier Executive Order that focused on tailoring FCPA enforcement to address conduct that directly undermines certain U.S. national interests and limiting burdens on American companies operating abroad. As such, one primary consideration prosecutors must now take into account when pursuing a potential new FCPA action is whether the alleged misconduct relates to activities of Cartels or TCOs. In addition, prosecutors are directed to focus enforcement on individual conduct rather than conduct at the corporation level that is related to routine business practices, and to consider potential disruption of corporate activities throughout an FCPA investigation. Initiation of all new FCPA investigations and enforcement actions are required to be authorized by the Assistant Attorney General for the Criminal Division.				Order issued by President
align DOJ enforcement and investigations of FCPA violations with the policy objectives outlined in President Trump's earlier Executive Order that focused on tailoring FCPA enforcement to address conduct that directly undermines certain U.S. national interests and limiting burdens on American companies operating abroad. As such, one primary consideration prosecutors must now take into account when pursuing a potential new FCPA action is whether the alleged misconduct relates to activities of Cartels or TCOs. In addition, prosecutors are directed to focus enforcement on individual conduct rather than conduct at the corporation level that is related to routine business practices, and to consider potential disruption of corporate activities throughout an FCPA investigation. Initiation of all new FCPA investigations and enforcement actions are required to be authorized by the Assistant Attorney General for the Criminal Division.				Trump on February 10,
align DOJ enforcement and investigations of FCPA violations with the policy objectives outlined in President Trump's earlier Executive Order that focused on tailoring FCPA enforcement to address conduct that directly undermines certain U.S. national interests and limiting burdens on American companies operating abroad. As such, one primary consideration prosecutors must now take into account when pursuing a potential new FCPA action is whether the alleged misconduct relates to activities of Cartels or TCOs. In addition, prosecutors are directed to focus enforcement on individual conduct rather than conduct at the corporation level that is related to routine business practices, and to consider potential disruption of corporate activities throughout an FCPA investigation. Initiation of all new FCPA investigations and enforcement actions are required to be authorized by the Assistant Attorney General for the Criminal Division.				2025. The guidelines
FCPA violations with the policy objectives outlined in President Trump's earlier Executive Order that focused on tailoring FCPA enforcement to address conduct that directly undermines certain U.S. national interests and limiting burdens on American companies operating abroad. As such, one primary consideration prosecutors must now take into account when pursuing a potential new FCPA action is whether the alleged misconduct relates to activities of Cartels or TCOs. In addition, prosecutors are directed to focus enforcement on individual conduct rather than conduct at the corporation level that is related to routine business practices, and to consider potential disruption of corporate activities throughout an FCPA investigation. Initiation of all new FCPA investigation. Initiation of all new FCPA investigations and enforcement actions are required to be authorized by the Assistant Attorney General for the Criminal Division.				align DOJ enforcement
FCPA violations with the policy objectives outlined in President Trump's earlier Executive Order that focused on tailoring FCPA enforcement to address conduct that directly undermines certain U.S. national interests and limiting burdens on American companies operating abroad. As such, one primary consideration prosecutors must now take into account when pursuing a potential new FCPA action is whether the alleged misconduct relates to activities of Cartels or TCOs. In addition, prosecutors are directed to focus enforcement on individual conduct rather than conduct at the corporation level that is related to routine business practices, and to consider potential disruption of corporate activities throughout an FCPA investigation. Initiation of all new FCPA investigation. Initiation of all new FCPA investigations and enforcement actions are required to be authorized by the Assistant Attorney General for the Criminal Division.				and investigations of
in President Trump's earlier Executive Order that focused on tailoring FCPA enforcement to address conduct that directly undermines certain U.S. national interests and limiting burdens on American companies operating abroad. As such, one primary consideration prosecutors must now take into account when pursuing a potential new FCPA action is whether the alleged misconduct relates to activities of Cartels or TCOs. In addition, prosecutors are directed to focus enforcement on individual conduct rather than conduct at the corporation level that is related to routine business practices, and to consider potential disruption of corporate activities throughout an FCPA investigation. Initiation of all new FCPA investigations and enforcement actions are required to be authorized by the Assistant Attorney General for the Criminal Division.				
in President Trump's earlier Executive Order that focused on tailoring FCPA enforcement to address conduct that directly undermines certain U.S. national interests and limiting burdens on American companies operating abroad. As such, one primary consideration prosecutors must now take into account when pursuing a potential new FCPA action is whether the alleged misconduct relates to activities of Cartels or TCOs. In addition, prosecutors are directed to focus enforcement on individual conduct rather than conduct at the corporation level that is related to routine business practices, and to consider potential disruption of corporate activities throughout an FCPA investigation. Initiation of all new FCPA investigations and enforcement actions are required to be authorized by the Assistant Attorney General for the Criminal Division.				policy objectives outlined
carlier Executive Order that focused on tailoring FCPA enforcement to address conduct that directly undermines certain U.S. national interests and limiting burdens on American companies operating abroad. As such, one primary consideration prosecutors must now take into account when pursuing a potential new FCPA action is whether the alleged misconduct relates to activities of Cartels or TCOs. In addition, prosecutors are directed to focus enforcement on individual conduct rather than conduct at the corporation level that is related to routine business practices, and to consider potential disruption of corporate activities throughout an FCPA investigation. Initiation of all new FCPA investigations and enforcement actions are required to be authorized by the Assistant Attorney General for the Criminal Division.				1 0
FCPA enforcement to address conduct that directly undermines certain U.S. national interests and limiting burdens on American companies operating abroad. As such, one primary consideration prosecutors must now take into account when pursuing a potential new FCPA action is whether the alleged misconduct relates to activities of Cartels or TCOs. In addition, prosecutors are directed to focus enforcement on individual conduct rather than conduct at the corporation level that is related to routine business practices, and to consider potential disruption of corporate activities throughout an FCPA investigation. Initiation of all new FCPA investigations and enforcement actions are required to be authorized by the Assistant Attorney General for the Criminal Division.				_
FCPA enforcement to address conduct that directly undermines certain U.S. national interests and limiting burdens on American companies operating abroad. As such, one primary consideration prosecutors must now take into account when pursuing a potential new FCPA action is whether the alleged misconduct relates to activities of Cartels or TCOs. In addition, prosecutors are directed to focus enforcement on individual conduct rather than conduct at the corporation level that is related to routine business practices, and to consider potential disruption of corporate activities throughout an FCPA investigation. Initiation of all new FCPA investigations and enforcement actions are required to be authorized by the Assistant Attorney General for the Criminal Division.				that focused on tailoring
address conduct that directly undermines certain U.S. national interests and limiting burdens on American companies operating abroad. As such, one primary consideration prosecutors must now take into account when pursuing a potential new FCPA action is whether the alleged misconduct relates to activities of Cartels or TCOs. In addition, prosecutors are directed to focus enforcement on individual conduct rather than conduct at the corporation level that is related to routine business practices, and to consider potential disruption of corporate activities throughout an FCPA investigation. Initiation of all new FCPA investigations and enforcement actions are required to be authorized by the Assistant Attorney General for the Criminal Division.				_
directly undermines certain U.S. national interests and limiting burdens on American companies operating abroad. As such, one primary consideration prosecutors must now take into account when pursuing a potential new FCPA action is whether the alleged misconduct relates to activities of Cartels or TCOs. In addition, prosecutors are directed to focus enforcement on individual conduct rather than conduct at the corporation level that is related to routine business practices, and to consider potential disruption of corporate activities throughout an FCPA investigation. Initiation of all new FCPA investigations and enforcement actions are required to be authorized by the Assistant Attorney General for the Criminal Division.				
certain U.S. national interests and limiting burdens on American companies operating abroad. As such, one primary consideration prosecutors must now take into account when pursuing a potential new FCPA action is whether the alleged misconduct relates to activities of Cartels or TCOs. In addition, prosecutors are directed to focus enforcement on individual conduct rather than conduct at the corporation level that is related to routine business practices, and to consider potential disruption of corporate activities throughout an FCPA investigation. Initiation of all new FCPA investigations and enforcement actions are required to be authorized by the Assistant Attorney General for the Criminal Division. 6/9/25 Customs and Border Tariffs CBP has issued guidance				
interests and limiting burdens on American companies operating abroad. As such, one primary consideration prosecutors must now take into account when pursuing a potential new FCPA action is whether the alleged misconduct relates to activities of Cartels or TCOs. In addition, prosecutors are directed to focus enforcement on individual conduct rather than conduct at the corporation level that is related to routine business practices, and to consider potential disruption of corporate activities throughout an FCPA investigation. Initiation of all new FCPA investigations and enforcement actions are required to be authorized by the Assistant Attorney General for the Criminal Division. 6/9/25 Customs and Border Tariffs CBP has issued guidance				
burdens on American companies operating abroad. As such, one primary consideration prosecutors must now take into account when pursuing a potential new FCPA action is whether the alleged misconduct relates to activities of Cartels or TCOs. In addition, prosecutors are directed to focus enforcement on individual conduct rather than conduct at the corporation level that is related to routine business practices, and to consider potential disruption of corporate activities throughout an FCPA investigation. Initiation of all new FCPA investigations and enforcement actions are required to be authorized by the Assistant Attorney General for the Criminal Division. 6/9/25 Customs and Border Tariffs CBP has issued guidance				
companies operating abroad. As such, one primary consideration prosecutors must now take into account when pursuing a potential new FCPA action is whether the alleged misconduct relates to activities of Cartels or TCOs. In addition, prosecutors are directed to focus enforcement on individual conduct rather than conduct at the corporation level that is related to routine business practices, and to consider potential disruption of corporate activities throughout an FCPA investigation. Initiation of all new FCPA investigations and enforcement actions are required to be authorized by the Assistant Attorney General for the Criminal Division. 6/9/25 Customs and Border Tariffs CBP has issued guidance				
abroad. As such, one primary consideration prosecutors must now take into account when pursuing a potential new FCPA action is whether the alleged misconduct relates to activities of Cartels or TCOs. In addition, prosecutors are directed to focus enforcement on individual conduct rather than conduct rather than conduct at the corporation level that is related to routine business practices, and to consider potential disruption of corporate activities throughout an FCPA investigation. Initiation of all new FCPA investigations and enforcement actions are required to be authorized by the Assistant Attorney General for the Criminal Division. 6/9/25 Customs and Border Tariffs CBP has issued guidance				
primary consideration prosecutors must now take into account when pursuing a potential new FCPA action is whether the alleged misconduct relates to activities of Cartels or TCOs. In addition, prosecutors are directed to focus enforcement on individual conduct rather than conduct at the corporation level that is related to routine business practices, and to consider potential disruption of corporate activities throughout an FCPA investigation. Initiation of all new FCPA investigations and enforcement actions are required to be authorized by the Assistant Attorney General for the Criminal Division. 6/9/25 Customs and Border Tariffs CBP has issued guidance				
prosecutors must now take into account when pursuing a potential new FCPA action is whether the alleged misconduct relates to activities of Cartels or TCOs. In addition, prosecutors are directed to focus enforcement on individual conduct rather than conduct at the corporation level that is related to routine business practices, and to consider potential disruption of corporate activities throughout an FCPA investigation. Initiation of all new FCPA investigations and enforcement actions are required to be authorized by the Assistant Attorney General for the Criminal Division. 6/9/25 Customs and Border Tariffs CBP has issued guidance				
take into account when pursuing a potential new FCPA action is whether the alleged misconduct relates to activities of Cartels or TCOs. In addition, prosecutors are directed to focus enforcement on individual conduct rather than conduct rather than conduct at the corporation level that is related to routine business practices, and to consider potential disruption of corporate activities throughout an FCPA investigation. Initiation of all new FCPA investigations and enforcement actions are required to be authorized by the Assistant Attorney General for the Criminal Division. 6/9/25 Customs and Border Tariffs CBP has issued guidance				= -
pursuing a potential new FCPA action is whether the alleged misconduct relates to activities of Cartels or TCOs. In addition, prosecutors are directed to focus enforcement on individual conduct rather than conduct rather than conduct at the corporation level that is related to routine business practices, and to consider potential disruption of corporate activities throughout an FCPA investigation. Initiation of all new FCPA investigations and enforcement actions are required to be authorized by the Assistant Attorney General for the Criminal Division. 6/9/25 Customs and Border Tariffs CBP has issued guidance				
FCPA action is whether the alleged misconduct relates to activities of Cartels or TCOs. In addition, prosecutors are directed to focus enforcement on individual conduct rather than conduct at the corporation level that is related to routine business practices, and to consider potential disruption of corporate activities throughout an FCPA investigation. Initiation of all new FCPA investigations and enforcement actions are required to be authorized by the Assistant Attorney General for the Criminal Division. 6/9/25 Customs and Border Tariffs CBP has issued guidance				
the alleged misconduct relates to activities of Cartels or TCOs. In addition, prosecutors are directed to focus enforcement on individual conduct rather than conduct at the corporation level that is related to routine business practices, and to consider potential disruption of corporate activities throughout an FCPA investigation. Initiation of all new FCPA investigations and enforcement actions are required to be authorized by the Assistant Attorney General for the Criminal Division. 6/9/25 Customs and Border Tariffs CBP has issued guidance				
relates to activities of Cartels or TCOs. In addition, prosecutors are directed to focus enforcement on individual conduct rather than conduct at the corporation level that is related to routine business practices, and to consider potential disruption of corporate activities throughout an FCPA investigation. Initiation of all new FCPA investigations and enforcement actions are required to be authorized by the Assistant Attorney General for the Criminal Division. 6/9/25 Customs and Border Tariffs CBP has issued guidance				
Cartels or TCOs. In addition, prosecutors are directed to focus enforcement on individual conduct rather than conduct at the corporation level that is related to routine business practices, and to consider potential disruption of corporate activities throughout an FCPA investigation. Initiation of all new FCPA investigations and enforcement actions are required to be authorized by the Assistant Attorney General for the Criminal Division. 6/9/25 Customs and Border Tariffs CBP has issued guidance				_
addition, prosecutors are directed to focus enforcement on individual conduct rather than conduct at the corporation level that is related to routine business practices, and to consider potential disruption of corporate activities throughout an FCPA investigation. Initiation of all new FCPA investigations and enforcement actions are required to be authorized by the Assistant Attorney General for the Criminal Division. 6/9/25 Customs and Border Tariffs CBP has issued guidance				
directed to focus enforcement on individual conduct rather than conduct at the corporation level that is related to routine business practices, and to consider potential disruption of corporate activities throughout an FCPA investigation. Initiation of all new FCPA investigations and enforcement actions are required to be authorized by the Assistant Attorney General for the Criminal Division. 6/9/25 Customs and Border Tariffs CBP has issued guidance				
enforcement on individual conduct rather than conduct at the corporation level that is related to routine business practices, and to consider potential disruption of corporate activities throughout an FCPA investigation. Initiation of all new FCPA investigations and enforcement actions are required to be authorized by the Assistant Attorney General for the Criminal Division. 6/9/25 Customs and Border Tariffs CBP has issued guidance				-
conduct rather than conduct at the corporation level that is related to routine business practices, and to consider potential disruption of corporate activities throughout an FCPA investigation. Initiation of all new FCPA investigations and enforcement actions are required to be authorized by the Assistant Attorney General for the Criminal Division. 6/9/25 Customs and Border Tariffs CBP has issued guidance				
conduct at the corporation level that is related to routine business practices, and to consider potential disruption of corporate activities throughout an FCPA investigation. Initiation of all new FCPA investigations and enforcement actions are required to be authorized by the Assistant Attorney General for the Criminal Division. 6/9/25 Customs and Border Tariffs CBP has issued guidance				
level that is related to routine business practices, and to consider potential disruption of corporate activities throughout an FCPA investigation. Initiation of all new FCPA investigations and enforcement actions are required to be authorized by the Assistant Attorney General for the Criminal Division. 6/9/25 Customs and Border Tariffs CBP has issued guidance				
routine business practices, and to consider potential disruption of corporate activities throughout an FCPA investigation. Initiation of all new FCPA investigations and enforcement actions are required to be authorized by the Assistant Attorney General for the Criminal Division. 6/9/25 Customs and Border Tariffs CBP has issued guidance				-
and to consider potential disruption of corporate activities throughout an FCPA investigation. Initiation of all new FCPA investigations and enforcement actions are required to be authorized by the Assistant Attorney General for the Criminal Division. 6/9/25 Customs and Border Tariffs CBP has issued guidance				
disruption of corporate activities throughout an FCPA investigation. Initiation of all new FCPA investigations and enforcement actions are required to be authorized by the Assistant Attorney General for the Criminal Division. 6/9/25 Customs and Border Tariffs CBP has issued guidance				
activities throughout an FCPA investigation. Initiation of all new FCPA investigations and enforcement actions are required to be authorized by the Assistant Attorney General for the Criminal Division. 6/9/25 Customs and Border Tariffs CBP has issued guidance				
FCPA investigation. Initiation of all new FCPA investigations and enforcement actions are required to be authorized by the Assistant Attorney General for the Criminal Division. 6/9/25 Customs and Border Tariffs CBP has issued guidance				1 -
Initiation of all new FCPA investigations and enforcement actions are required to be authorized by the Assistant Attorney General for the Criminal Division. 6/9/25 Customs and Border Tariffs CBP has issued guidance				
FCPA investigations and enforcement actions are required to be authorized by the Assistant Attorney General for the Criminal Division. 6/9/25 Customs and Border Tariffs CBP has issued guidance				
enforcement actions are required to be authorized by the Assistant Attorney General for the Criminal Division. 6/9/25 Customs and Border Tariffs CBP has issued guidance				
required to be authorized by the Assistant Attorney General for the Criminal Division. 6/9/25 Customs and Border Tariffs CBP has issued guidance				FCPA investigations and
by the Assistant Attorney General for the Criminal Division. 6/9/25 Customs and Border Tariffs CBP has issued guidance				enforcement actions are
by the Assistant Attorney General for the Criminal Division. 6/9/25 Customs and Border Tariffs CBP has issued guidance				required to be authorized
General for the Criminal Division. 6/9/25 Customs and Border Tariffs CBP has issued guidance				_
6/9/25 Customs and Border Tariffs CBP has issued guidance				•
\mathcal{E}				Division.
	6/9/25	Customs and Border	Tariffs	CBP has issued guidance
				through the Cargo

	Updated Guidance for Imports of Steel, Aluminum, and Derivative Products		Systems Messaging Service (CSMS) to update the list of HTSUS codes subject to steel and aluminum tariffs pursuant to Section 232 of the Trade Expansion Act of 1962. The product list updates are based on the recently published annexes to the June 3, 2025, tariff action that increased the tariff rate for steel aluminum products
6/6/25	White House Sustaining Select Efforts to Strengthen the Nation's Cybersecurity and Amending Executive Order 13694 and Executive Order 14144		from 25% to 50%. President Trump issued an executive order amending prior executive actions related to combating cybersecurity threats and bolstering the U.S.'s cyber defenses. The new amendments include a policy statement highlighting China as the primary threat to U.S. cybersecurity, directives to Secretary of Commerce to lead efforts to update NIST publications, and steps to push the federal government towards a transition to cryptographic algorithms that mitigate risks presented by quantum computers capable of breaking public-key cryptography used in U.S. (and global)
6/6/25	White House	Economic	digital systems. President Trump has
	Unleashing American Drone Dominance	Policy/National Security	issued an executive order focused on promoting the U.S.'s drone industry. This includes directing the Secretary of

Transportation to look to solutions to ensure that flights solely in the U.S., or those that begin in the U.S. and reach U.S.owned facilities overseas will not be subject to certain requirements for aircraft completing international navigation. The Order also focuses on prioritizing the commercialization and innovation of the U.S. drone industry, directing relevant government agencies to prioritize U.S.-origin UAS over foreign-produced aircrafts and requiring the Federal **Acquisition Security** Council to publish a list identifying companies that could present risks to the UAS supply chain in the U.S. The Order also directs the Secretary of Commerce to promulgate rules and complete investigations to protect the U.S. supply chain from foreign threats related to UAS. The Secretaries of Commerce, State, Defense, and Energy are directed to review and amend export regulations to permit efficient export of civil UAS to foreign countries and promote the U.S. UAS industry commercial growth. The Order was issued in tandem with another Executive Order, "Restoring American

		1	
			Airspace Sovereignty"
			that focuses on bolstering
			the regulation drone flight
			to protect public safety
			and address risks
			presented by the
			weaponization of UAS by
			hostile actors.
6/6/25	White House	Economic	President Trump issued an
0/0/23	winte House	Policy/National Security	executive order aimed at
	Looding The World in	1 oney/National Security	
	Leading The World in		bolstering U.S.
	Supersonic Flight		dominance in high-speed
			aviation. The Order
			directs the Administrator
			of the Federal Aviation
			Administration (FAA) to
			work towards repealing
			multiple regulations and
			barriers to promote the
			development of
			supersonic flight and
			domestic advancement of
			aviation technology. The
			Director of the Office of
			Science and Technology
			Policy (OSTP), aided by
			other relevant parties, is
			directed to coordinate
			research and development
			to aid research,
			development, testing, and
			evaluation (RDT&E) and
			it's sharing through the
			National Science and
			Technology Council. The
			Administrator of the FAA
			is also directed to
			collaborate with foreign
			aviation entities to obtain
			bilateral aviation safety
6/2/25	White House	Tamiffa	agreements.
6/3/25	White House	Tariffs	President Trump has
			issued a proclamation
	Adjusting Imports of		raising tariffs from 25%
	Aluminum And		to 50% for imports of
			certain aluminum and

	Steel Into the United		staal products and their
			steel products and their
	States		derivatives pursuant to
			Section 232 of the Trade
			Expansion Act of 1962.
			The increased tariff rates
			go into effect on June 4,
			2025. However, imports
			of UK-origin steel and
			aluminum products will
			remain subject to 25%
			tariffs, though that is
			subject to change on or
			after July 9, 2025,
			pursuant to the U.SUK
			Economic Prosperity
			Deal. More details on the
			application of the
			increased tariff rates for
			aluminum and steel
			imports can be found in
			CBP's Cargo Systems
			Messages issued on June
			3, 2025, available <u>here</u>
- /2 / /2 -			and here.
5/31/25	Federal Register	Tariff Exclusions	The USTR announced the
			extension of certain
	Notice of Product		exclusions from tariffs
	Exclusion Extensions:		imposed on imports of
	China's Acts, Policies,		Chinese-origin goods
	and Practices		pursuant to the USTR's
	Related to Technology		investigation into
	Transfer, Intellectual		"China's Acts, Policies,
	Property, and Innovation		and Practices Related to
			Technology Transfer,
			Intellectual Property, and
			Innovation" under Section
			301 of the Trade Act of
			1974. The tariff
			exclusions originally set
			to expire on May 31,
			2025, will continue to
			apply through August 31,
			2025. This exclusion
			extension follows several
			prior extensions granted
1			for certain products
	1		

	1		1
			during the Biden
			Administration and the
			USTR's findings during
			four-year review of the
			Section 301 actions
			published on May 14,
			2024.
5/28/25	Court of International	Tariffs	The Court of International
3/26/23		1 a11115	
	Trade		Trade (CIT) issued an
	Slip Opinion 25-66		opinion based on two
			lawsuits, one brought by a
	*Update: On May 29th,		group of small businesses
	the U.S. Court of Appeals		and one brought by a
	for the Federal Circuit in		coalition of 12 states,
	Washington, DC granted		ruling that President
	the United States' request		Trump's tariff actions
	for an administrative stay		implemented pursuant to
	of the Court of		the International
	International Trade's		Emergency Economic
	injunction until further		Powers Act (IEEPA) are
	notice.		unconstitutional.
	none.		Accordingly, the opinion
			implements an injunction
			barring operation of the
			tariff actions and required
			the Trump Administration
			to issue orders stopping
			the tariff actions within 10
			days. The CIT's decision
			does not impact the
			validity of tariff actions
			based on authorities other
			than the IEEPA, such as
			tariffs imposed under
			Section 301 tariffs
			imposed on a wide-range
			of Chinese-origin goods
			and Section 232 tariffs
			imposed on aluminum,
			steel, automobile, and
			automobile part imports.
5/28/25	OFAC	U.S. Economic	On May 13, 2025,
3120123		Sanctions	=
	Frequently Asked	Sanctions	President Trump
	Questions (FAQs) for		announced his intent to
	Syria General License 25		ease sanctions imposed on
			Syria to support the new

			government and help
			stabilize Syria. OFAC, in
			concurrence with this new
			policy, has issued General
			License 25 (GL 25)
			authorizing a wide range
			of transactions previously
			prohibited under the
			Syrian Sanctions
			Regulations. The new
			general license authorizes
			activities such as new
			investment Syria, the
			provision of services to
			companies and people
			within Syria, dealing in
			petroleum or petroleum
			products from Syria, and
			transacting with the
			current Syrian
			government as well as
			blocked parties listed in
			in the Annex to GL 25.
			U.S. financial institutions
			can process transactions
			with the Central Bank of
			Syria, but property of that
			bank remains blocked.
			Importantly, the general
			license does not authorize
			transactions involving any
			parties, including Bashar
			al-Assad and associates,
			on OFAC's SDN list or
			that involve an entity
			owned 50% or more by
			SDNs (other than those
			listed in the Annex).
5/23/25	White House	National Security and	President Trump has
	Fact Sheet: President	Energy Policy	issued 4 Executive Orders
	Donald J. Trump Directs	6JJ	focused on nuclear power
	Reform of the Nuclear		and the Nuclear
	Regulatory Commission		Regulatory Commission
			(NRC). The orders reform
			NRC nuclear policies to
			promote the expansion of
			promote the expansion of

5/16/25	White House What They Are Saying: Trillions in Great Deals Secured for America Thanks to President Trump	Economic Policy/Foreign Investment	U.S. nuclear energy capacity and establish new expedited timeframes for related licensing and approval procedures. The orders also focus on strengthening the domestic nuclear industry through expansion of the domestic workforce to decrease reliance on foreign energy and highlight the role nuclear energy infrastructure plays in enhancing domestic AI computing and advanced technologies. Notably, the President has also directed the Secretary of State to focus on agreements and other necessary activities to support American nuclear exports. Following President Trump's Middle East visit, several countries within the region announced investment deals in the U.S. and multiple deals with U.Sbased aerospace, defense, and technology companies. The total value reaches over \$200 trillion, with a \$1.2 trillion economic exchange agreement with Qatar and an additional \$243.5 billion for commercial and defense deals between the U.S. and Qatar, \$200 billion in commercial deals between the U.S. and U.A.E., and a

			Arabia. The White House
			announcement highlights
			that these deals are meant
			to strengthen the U.S.
			<u> </u>
			economy as well as foster
			security and safety within
- / - /			the Middle East.
5/12/25	<u>Department of</u>	Export Controls	The Department of
	Commerce		Commerce (DOC)
	Department of		announced the recission
	Commerce Rescinds		of the "AI Diffusion
	Biden-Era Artificial		Rule" set to begin being
	Intelligence Diffusion		enforced on May 15,
	Rule, Strengthens Chip-		2025. The AI Diffusion
	Related Export Controls		Rule, issued by President
	-		Biden on January 15,
			2025, included new
			controls on advanced
			computing technology
			and ICs and on certain
			advanced closed-weight
			AI models. It also added
			new license exceptions
			and introduced a new
			authorization process for
			-
			building data centers abroad. Based on the
			recission announcement,
			BIS has been directed to
			not enforce the AI
			Diffusion Rule and will
			likely issue a replacement
			rule in its place in the
			coming weeks.
5/12/25	Department of Justice	Corporate Enforcement	The Head of the DOJ's
	Memorandum: Focus,		Criminal Division,
	Fairness, and Efficiency		Matthew Galeotti, issued
	in the Fight Against		a division-wide
	White-Collar Crime		memorandum announcing
			new investigative and
			policy priorities related
			white collar enforcement.
			The Memo directs the
			Criminal Division to
			focus investigations and
			prosecutions on 10 key
<u> </u>		l	prosecutions on to key

	T		
			areas including tariff
			evasion and other forms
			of trade and customs
			fraud, threats to U.S.
			national security such as
			violations of economic
			sanctions and conduct that
			supports Cartels, hostile
			nation-states, and other
			foreign terrorist
			organizations, and bribery
			and money-laundering
			activities related to the
			manufacturing of illegal
			drugs. The Memo also
			announced modifications
			to the DOJ's Corporate
			Whistleblower Awards
			Pilot Program to align
			with the updated priority
			enforcement areas and
			highlighted revisions to
			the Criminal Division's
			Corporate Enforcement
			and Voluntary Self-
			Disclosure Policy to
			clarify additional benefits
			of self-reporting
- /1 0 /0 -			misconduct to the DOJ.
5/12/25	White House	Tariffs	Following the
	Modifying Reciprocal		announcement of a trade
	Tariff Rates to Reflect		agreement with China,
	Discussions with the		President Trump issued an
	People's Republic of		Executive Order
	China		modifying the reciprocal
			tariffs originally imposed
			on China under Executive
			Order 14257 and
			subsequent orders.
			Effective May 14, 2025,
			for a period of 90 days the
			reciprocal tariff rate on
			Chinese-origin imports
			will be 10%. In addition,
			the <i>ad valorem</i> tariff rate
			for low-value <i>de minimis</i>

			shipments from China is reduced from 120% to 54%, or a \$100 fixed duty per postal item. Importantly, other tariffs on imports from China such as the Section 301, Section 232, and fentanyl tariff actions remain in place and are not impacted by the May 12th Executive Order.
5/12/25	White House Joint Statement on U.S China Economic and Trade Meeting in Geneva	Economic Policy/Tariffs	Following a May 11, 2025, initial announcement of a new trade deal with China, the U.S. and China published a joint statement outlining key aspects of the new agreement, including the implementation of tariff reductions by 115% by both countries. The tariff reductions will remain in place for a period of 90 days during which both countries will continue to discuss trade relations. The Joint Statement highlights that both the U.S. and China intend to work toward a mutual opening of their markets and that China is also expected to remove nontariff barriers to U.S. trade as part of the agreement.
5/8/25	White House Fact Sheet: U.SUK Reach Historic Trade Deal	Economic Policy/Tariffs	President Trump announced a much- anticipated agreement between the U.S. and UK outlining new reciprocal tariff developments. Notably, President

			Trump's 100/2 reciprocal
			Trump's 10% reciprocal
			tariff on imports of UK-
			origin goods will remain
			in place under the trade
			deal. However, the 25%
			tariffs imposed on steel
			and aluminum products
			from all countries will be
			reduced to 0% for UK-
			origin steel and aluminum
			imports. In addition, the
			Section 232 tariffs
			imposed on automotive
			imports will be reduced to
			10% for the first 100,000
			vehicles imported by UK
			car manufacturers each
			year, with additional
			vehicle imports subject to
			a 25% tariff rate. See
			general terms of the trade
			agreement <u>here</u> .
5/5/25	White House	Economic Policy	President Trump issued an
3/3/23	Regulatory Relief to	Leonomie i oney	Executive Order focused
	Promote Domestic		on the advancement of
	Production of		U.S. manufacturing of
	Critical Medicines		pharmaceuticals. The E.O.
	Critical ividaichies		directs the Food and Drug
			Administration to take
			steps to amend relevant
			regulations in order to
			reduce the amount of time
			required for approval of
			domestic manufacturing
			activities and streamline
			regulatory reviews of
			pharmaceutical
			manufacturing. In
			addition, the
			Environmental Protection
			Agency is directed to take
			steps to expedite
			regulatory approval
			processes related to
			domestic manufacturing
			facilities for

			pharmaceuticals. Overall, the E.O. aims to further the Trump Administration's policy of restoring "a robust
			domestic pharmaceutical
4/29/25	White House Trump Effect: A Running List of New U.S. Investment in President Trump's Second Term	Foreign Investment	manufacturing base." The White House published an article highlighting new investments made in the U.S., primarily with respect to AI and manufacturing development, made by multinational corporations and foreign governments since the start of President Trump's second term. The article includes a running list of investment announcements from notable companies including Amazon, Taiwan Semiconductor Manufacturing Company, and Hyundai.
4/29/25	White House Amendments To Adjusting Imports of Automobiles and Automobile Parts into the United States	Tariffs	President Trump issued a Proclamation amending Proclamation 10908, dated March 26, 2025, which originally imposed a 25% tariff on imports of automobiles and certain auto parts. The new Proclamation creates an "import adjustment offset" enabling certain qualifying manufacturers of automobiles that undergo final assembly in the U.S. to receive a specified import adjustment offset amount applicable to Section 232 duties on automobile parts

			through April 2027. The Secretary of Commerce is directed to establish an application process within 30 days of the Proclamation where manufacturers seeking an import adjustment offset amount may submit applications to the Secretary. Applications for the import adjustment
			offset amount shall include documentation related to the manufacturer's import data and statistics, manufacturing projects in the U.S., projected tariff costs, and other information related to
4/29/25	White House Addressing Certain Tariffs on Imported Articles	Tariffs	auto part imports. President Trump issued an Executive Order confirming that certain previously implemented tariff measures will not be cumulatively assessed on certain imports (i.e., certain tariff measures will not "stack" on top of each other). The E.O. provides clarification on the interplay of tariffs imposed on Mexico and Canada under the International Emergency Economic Powers Act (IEEPA), tariffs imposed on all imports of steel, aluminum, automobiles, and automobile parts under Section 232 of the Trade Expansion Act of 1962, and other tariff

			.11
			the past months.
			Importantly, the E.O.
			applies retroactively to all
			entries made on or after
			March 4, 2025, meaning
			some importers may be
			able to request refunds for
			overpaid duties based on
			the E.O. clarifications.
4/24/25	Federal Register	Economic Policy/Tariffs	The U.S. Department of
	Notice of Request for		Commerce initiated an
	Public Comments on		investigation under
	Section 232 National		Section 232 of the Trade
	Security Investigation of		Expansion Act of 1962
	Imports of Trucks		into the national security
	Imports of flucks		impacts of imports of
			medium-and heavy-duty
			trucks, truck parts, and
			-
			their derivative products.
			The investigation began
			on April 22, 2025, and
			may lead to new tariffs
			imposed on products
			subject to the
			investigation. Interested
			parties, including those
			that may be impacted by
			new tariffs, are invited to
			submit comments for
			consideration during
			Commerce's
			investigation. The
			deadline for the
			submission of comments
			will be May 16, 2025.
4/24/25	White House	Economic Policy	President Trump issued an
	Unleashing America's		Executive Order
	Offshore Critical		establishing a framework
	Minerals and Resources		to advance the exploration
	Timerals and Resources		and exploitation of
			offshore critical minerals
			and seabed resources. The
			Executive Order seeks to
			expedite permitting
			processes related to
			seabed exploration,

	Т	T	
			mining, and mineral
			processing and bolster the
			U.S. domestic supply
			chain for critical minerals.
			Within 60 days, specified
			Department heads,
			including the Secretaries
			of Commerce, Defense,
			1
			and Energy must take
			action to expedite and
			streamline respective
			permitting processes and
			provide reports on
			specified topics related to
			furthering seabed
			exploration and critical
			mineral exploitation.
4/23/25	Federal Register	Economic Policy/Tariffs	This Notice follows the
	Notice of Action and		USTR's investigation of
	Proposed Action in		China's actions, practices,
	Section 301 Investigation		and policies in the
	of China's Targeting the		maritime, ship building,
	Maritime, Logistics, and		and logistics sectors. The
	Shipbuilding Sectors for		USTR determined that
	Dominance, Request for		China's targeting of these
	Comments		areas is unreasonable,
			decreasing competition
			and increasing U.S.
			dependency on China in a
			way that is harmful to
			U.S. business and counter
			to the goal of bolstering
			U.S. supply chain
			resilience. The USTR
			determined that
			appropriate action
			includes enactment of the
			following: maritime
			transport services fees (for
			Chinese built, owned, or
			operated vessels as well
			as vessel operators of
			foreign vehicle carriers)
			and certain restrictions on
			maritime transport
			services to encourage U.S.
L	<u> </u>	l	

			transport for U.S. goods. In addition, the USTR proposed additional tariffs on certain chassis equipment, and STS cranes.
4/23/25	White House Transparency Regarding Foreign Influence at American Universities	Department of Justice	This Executive Order directs the Department of Education to strengthen enforcement of foreign funding disclosure requirements under the Higher Education Act, aiming to increase transparency and protect national interests in higher education. It also authorizes audits, investigations, and funding consequences for noncompliance, with an emphasis on revealing the true sources and purposes of foreign financial contributions to universities.
4/17/25	White House Restoring American Seafood Competitiveness	Economic Policy	This Executive Order directs federal agencies to revise or eliminate regulations that hinder domestic seafood production and to promote policies that support the competitiveness, sustainability, and modernization of the U.S. fishing industry. It also initiates a coordinated strategy to address illegal and unethical global fishing practices, improve seafood trade policy, and expand domestic processing and export capacity.

	Economic Policy	This proclamation lifts the
•		prohibition on
		commercial fishing within
the Pacific		the Pacific Remote
		Islands Marine National
		Monument's expanded
		areas, allowing regulated
		domestic fishing while
		maintaining
		environmental protections
		under existing federal
		laws. It directs federal
		agencies to revise related
		regulations and coordinate
		oversight to support
		sustainable fishing and
		local economic activity
		without compromising the
		scientific and historic
		integrity of the
		monument.
Federal Register	Economic Policy/Tariffs	The U.S. Department of
		Commerce initiated an
*		investigation into the
		national security impacts
		of imports of
		semiconductors,
		semiconductor
Semiconductor		manufacturing equipment,
Manufacturing		and derivative products
_		under Section 232 of the
1 1		Trade Expansion Act of
		1962. The investigation
		began on April 1, 2025,
		and will culminate in a
		report (due no later than
		December 27, 2025)
		presented by Commerce
		to the President detailing
		investigation findings and
		Commerce's
		recommendations on what
		actions should be taken to
		address identified national
		security risks. The
		investigation may lead to
	White House Unleashing American Commercial Fishing in the Pacific Federal Register Notice of Request for Public Comments on Section 232 National Security Investigation of Imports of Semiconductors and Semiconductor Manufacturing Equipment	Unleashing American Commercial Fishing in the Pacific Federal Register Notice of Request for Public Comments on Section 232 National Security Investigation of Imports of Semiconductors and Semiconductor Manufacturing

		I	41
			the assessment of new
			tariffs on semiconductor
			and related imports.
			Interested parties,
			including those that may
			be impacted by new
			tariffs, may submit public
			comments for
			consideration by
			Commerce up to May 7,
			2025, when the comment
			period closes.
4/16/25	Federal Register	Economic Policy/Tariffs	The U.S. Department of
10.20	Notice of Request for		Commerce initiated an
	Public Comments on		investigation under
	Section 232 National		Section 232 of the Trade
	Security Investigation of		Expansion Act of 1962
	Imports of		into the national security
	Pharmaceuticals and		impacts of imports of
	Pharmaceutical		pharmaceuticals and
	Ingredients		pharmaceutical
			ingredients, including
			finished drug products,
			medical countermeasures,
			critical inputs such as
			active pharmaceutical
			ingredients, and key
			starting materials, and
			derivative products of
			those items. The
			investigation began on
			April 1, 2025, and will
			culminate in a report (due
			no later than December
			27, 2025) presented by
			Commerce to the
			President detailing
			investigation findings and
			Commerce's
			recommendations on what
			actions should be taken to
			address identified national
			security risks. The
			investigation may lead to
			the assessment of new
			tariffs on pharmaceuticals
			tarris on pharmaceuticals

			and related products
			and related products.
			Interested parties,
			including those that may
			be impacted by new
			tariffs, may submit public
			comments for
			consideration by
			Commerce up to May 7,
			2025, when the comment
			period closes.
4/15/25	White House	Economic Policy/Tariffs	President Trump issued an
7/13/23	Ensuring National	Leonomie i oney/ raims	Executive Order directing
	_		_
	Security and Economic		the Secretary of
	Resilience Through		Commerce to initiate an
	Section 232 Actions on		investigation under
	Processed Critical		Section 232 of the Trade
	Minerals and Derivative		Expansion Act of 1962
	Products		into whether imports of
			processed critical minerals
			and derivative products
			impair U.S. national
			security. The Secretary of
			Commerce must submit a
			draft interim report of
			investigation findings and
			recommended actions
			within 90 days of the E.O.
			A final report, expected to
			include tariff
			recommendations, is due
			180 days after Commerce
			officially initiates the
			investigation. A notice of
			this investigation may be
			published in the Federal
			Register in the coming
			days that may also
			provide a period of
			opportunity for interested
			parties to submit
			comments to Commerce
			for consideration in its
			investigation.
4/11/25	White House	Tariffs	A Presidential
1,11,23	Clarification of	1 601110	Memorandum was issued
	Exceptions Under		
	Exceptions Under		clarifying the scope of

	Executive Order 14257 of April 2, 2025, as Amended		exclusions for semiconductor products from reciprocal tariffs. This Memorandum provides a list of semiconductor products classified under Chapters 84 and 85 of the HTS that are excluded from reciprocal tariffs implemented under E.O. 14257, as amended. The exclusions apply as of April 5, 2025, and are in addition to product exclusions originally set forth in Annex II of E.O. 14257.
4/9/25	White House Modifying Reciprocal Tariff Rates to Reflect Trading Partner Retaliation and Alignment	Customs/Tariffs	In response to an 84% tariff announced by China on U.S. goods, President Trump ordered a further tariff increase on imports from China from 84% to 125% and raised tariffs on de minimis imports from China. The Executive Order also temporarily paused country-specific tariffs for other trade partners until July 1, 2025. In the meantime, a 10% blanket tariff applies to covered imports from all countries- except China, Mexico, and Canada- which are subject to separate tariffs as set forth in this Executive Order and past actions.
4/8/25	White House Amendment To Reciprocal Tariffs and Updated Duties as Applied to Low-Value	Customs/Tariffs	In response to new tariffs imposed by China on U.S. goods, President Trump has ordered an increase in certain U.S. tariffs on
	Imports from the		low-value imports from

	People's Republic of China		China, raising specific tariffs from 34% to 84% and increasing tariffs on de minimis shipments. These changes aim to ensure the effectiveness of earlier trade measures and will be implemented through modifications to the Harmonized Tariff Schedule and related regulations.
4/2/25	White House Further Amendment to Duties Addressing the Synthetic Opioid Supply Chain in the People's Republic of China as Applied to Low-Value Imports	Imports/Tariffs	President Trump issued an executive order eliminating duty-free de minimis treatment for covered goods imported from China, effective May 2, 2025. Goods imported from China via means other than the "international postal network" will be subject to all applicable duties imposed on Chinese goods despite whether they qualify for the de minimis exemption under 19 U.S.C. 1321(a)(2)(C). De minimis qualifying goods sent from China via the international postal network are subject to a duty rate of either 30% of the value or \$25 per item (increasing to \$50 after June 1, 2025) but will be exempt from all other duties on Chinese imports.
4/2/25	White House	Tariffs	President Trump declared a national emergency in

	Regulating Imports with		response to large and
	a Reciprocal Tariff to		persistent U.S. goods
	Rectify Trade Practices		trade deficits, citing non-
	that Contribute to Large		reciprocal trade practices
	and Persistent Annual		and foreign economic
	United States Goods		policies that have
	Trade Deficits		weakened domestic
			manufacturing and
			defense capabilities. To
			address these imbalances,
			the order imposes a 10%
			blanket tariff on most
			imports, with higher
			country-specific rates for
			certain trading partners,
			and outlines detailed
			implementation rules,
			exceptions, and
			modification authorities.
			The policy aims to restore
			domestic production
			capacity, protect national
			security, and incentivize
			trading partners to adopt
			more reciprocal trade
			arrangements.
3/31/25	White House	Foreign Investment	This executive order
	Establishing The United		establishes the United
	States Investment		States Investment
	Accelerator		Accelerator within the
			Department of Commerce
			to streamline regulatory
			processes and support
			investments over \$1
			billion by assisting with
			permitting, resource
			access, and collaboration
			efforts. It directs federal
			agencies to coordinate and
			modernize procedures to
			attract and expedite both
			domestic and foreign
			investment, while
			remaining consistent with

			existing laws and national security considerations.
3/26/25	White House Adjusting Imports Of Automobiles And Automobile Parts Into The United States	Tariffs	President Trump issued a proclamation directing a 25% tariff on certain imported automobiles and automobile parts starting April 3, 2025, based on findings that continued import levels affect the United States' industrial capacity and supply chain resilience. The measure establishes procedures for applying the tariff, particularly under the USMCA, and authorizes ongoing monitoring and adjustments to support domestic manufacturing capabilities.
3/24/25	White House Imposing Tariffs on Countries Importing Venezuelan Oil	Tariffs	President Trump issued an executive order intensifying economic measures against Venezuela, citing the Maduro regime's ongoing threat to U.S. national security and the infiltration of the Tren de Aragua gang into the U.S. He authorized a 25% tariff on goods from countries that import Venezuelan oil, to be enforced starting April 2, 2025, as part of broader efforts to curtail Venezuela's destabilizing activities.

3/14/25	White House Continuing The Reduction of the Federal Bureaucracy	Department of Justice	This executive order reduces the scope of the federal bureaucracy by eliminating non-statutory functions and minimizing statutory operations of several government entities, including the
			Minority Business Development Agency and the U.S. Interagency Council on Homelessness. It also directs agency heads to report compliance within seven days and restricts budgetary approvals for affected entities.
3/13/25	Federal Register Notice of Request for Public Comments on Section 232 National Security Investigation of Imports of Copper	National Security/Economic Policy	The Department of Commerce initiated an investigation under Section 232 of the Trade Expansion Act of 1962 into the impact imports of copper, in various forms, and derivative products have on U.S. national security. Following President Trump's February 25 Executive Order directing the Department of Commerce and other relevant agency heads to take certain actions to address identified national security risks presented by U.S. reliance on foreign supplies of copper and the lagging domestic smelting and refining industry. Interested parties may submit public comments to the Office of Strategic Industries and Economic

3/3/25	Federal Registrar Further Amendment to Duties Addressing the Synthetic Opioid Supply Chain in the People's Republic of China	U.S. Economic Sanctions	Security at the Department of Commerce for consideration until April 1, 2025. The amendment doubles tariffs on certain Chinese goods from 10% to 20% due to the PRC's failure to take adequate steps against the illicit synthetic opioid trade. It maintains that the order does not affect existing legal authorities or create enforceable rights.
3/2/25	Federal Registrar Amendment to Duties to Address the Situation at Our Southern Border	Imports/Tariffs	The amendment modifies Executive Order 14194 to limit duty-free de minimis treatment for certain covered articles under 19 U.S.C. 1321, making it conditional on the Secretary of Commerce confirming that systems are in place to efficiently process and collect applicable tariff revenue. It also clarifies that the order does not affect existing legal authorities or create enforceable rights.
2/25/25	Federal Registrar Addressing the Threat to National Security from Imports of Copper	Imports/Tariffs	Order 14220 addresses national security concerns related to copper imports and directs the Secretary of Commerce to initiate an investigation into copper imports pursuant to Section 232 of the Trade Expansion Act of 1962.

2/18/25	White House Ensuring Accountability for All Agencies	Department of Justice	This order injected the President and the Department of Justice directly into the regulatory and interpretive processes of all federal agencies, mandating that agencies align their regulations and interpretations with the administration's policies, subject to oversight by the President and the Attorney General.
2/13/25	White House Reciprocal Trade and Tariffs	Tariffs	President Trump issued a memorandum outlining the U.S. policy to reduce the persistent annual trade deficit and address inequitable and unbalanced trade practices with foreign partners. He memorandum introduces the "Fair and Reciprocal Plan," which seeks to counter non-reciprocal trading arrangements by determining the equivalent of a reciprocal tariff with respect to each foreign trading partner.
2/10/25	White House Pausing Foreign Corrupt Practices Act Enforcement to Further American Economic and National Security	Department of Justice	This executive order directs a temporary pause and review of Foreign Corrupt Practices Act (FCPA) enforcement, arguing that its current application harms U.S. foreign policy and economic competitiveness. The Attorney General is instructed to reassess FCPA policies to better

			align with presidential authority over foreign affairs and national security interests.
2/10/25	White House Strengthening American Leadership In Digital Financial Technology	Economic Policy	President Trump issued an executive order to bolster U.S. leadership in the cryptocurrency and digital assets sectors. The order seeks to establish regulatory clarity, promote innovation, and position the U.S. as the global leader in digital financial technology.
2/10/25	White House Adjusting Imports of Steel into The United States	Tariffs	President Trump announced the reinstatement of a 25% tariff on all steel and aluminum imports into the United States. This move is intended to support domestic metal industries by reducing foreign competition. Critics warn it may increase costs for U.S. manufacturers and consumers and strain relationships with key allies.
2/6/25	White House Imposing Sanctions on The International Criminal Court	U.S. Economic Sanctions	President Trump issued an executive order authorizing sanctions against individuals and their families who assist the International Criminal Court (ICC). The administration accused the ICC of unjustly targeting the U.S. and its ally, Israel, particularly

			following the court's issuance of arrest warrants against Israeli officials for alleged war crimes in Gaza.
2/3/25	White House Progress on the Situation at Our Northern Border White House Progress on the Situation at Our Southern Border	Tariffs	After discussions with Mexican President Claudia Sheinbaum and Canadian Prime Minister Justin Trudeau, President Trump agreed to delay the implementation of new tariffs on imports from Mexico and Canada for 30 days.
2/3/25	White House A Plan for Establishing a United States Sovereign Wealth Fund	Economic Policy/Foreign Investment	President Trump issued an executive order directing the Treasury and Commerce Departments to create a U.S. sovereign wealth fund. The fund is intended to serve as an investment tool for the country, with potential plans to acquire companies like TikTok. The establishment of the fund requires congressional approval and is expected to be completed within 12 months.
2/1/25	White House Fact Sheet: President Donald J. Trump Imposes Tariffs on Imports from Canada, Mexico and China	Tariffs	President Trump issued an executive order imposing a 25% tariff on imports from Mexico and Canada, including a 10% tariff on Canadian energy products, and a 10% tariff on imports from China.
1/27/25	White House	Department of Justice	President Trump issued an executive order titled

	Prioritizing Military Excellence and Readiness		"Prioritizing Military Excellence and Readiness," directing DOJ involvement in military- related legal matters and emphasizing prosecution of offenses related to national security.
1/26/25	White House Statement from the Press Secretary	Tariffs	Action: The U.S. imposed 25% tariffs on imports from Colombia and implemented travel and visa restrictions due to Colombia's refusal to accept deported migrants. Update: The measures were paused after Colombia agreed to resume deportation flights from the U.S.
1/21/25	White House America First Trade Policy	Tariffs	On January 20, 2025, President Trump issued a memorandum directing federal agencies to implement an "America First" trade policy, emphasizing domestic investment, productivity, and additional tariffs on Canada, Mexico, and China.
1/20/25	White House America First Trade Policy	Tariffs	President Trump issued a Memorandum directly addressing tariffs by proposing a global supplemental tariff, or other policies, to remedy trade deficits and identified national security risks.
1/20/25	White House	U.S. Economic Sanctions	The Order declares a national emergency to

	Designating Cartels and Other Organizations as Foreign Terrorist Organizations and Specially Designated Global Terrorists		combat cartels and transnational organizations, designates them as Foreign Terrorist Organizations, and authorizes OFAC to impose sanctions on FTOs
1/20/25	White House Protecting the American People Against Invasion	Department of Justice	The Order directs the Attorney General to prioritize the prosecution of criminal offenses related to unauthorized entry or continued unauthorized presence of aliens in the United States.

About Torres Trade Law:

Our firm is a national law firm specialized in international trade and national security law. We represent clients ranging from Fortune 100 companies to small businesses that are located throughout the United States and the world. Through our professional network we can resolve the most complicated issues impacting global business in today's high stakes regulatory environment.